

**UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE CIÊNCIAS DA SAÚDE DE PORTO
ALEGRE – UFCSPA
CURSO DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM CIÊNCIAS DA
REABILITAÇÃO**

Fernanda de Andrade

**Avaliação Funcional de Pacientes
Transplantados Pulmonares
Entrevistas**

UFCSPA

Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde
de Porto Alegre

**Porto Alegre
2016**

Fernanda de Andrade

Avaliação Funcional de Pacientes Transplantados Pulmonares Entrevistas

Dissertação submetida ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Reabilitação da Fundação Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre como requisito para a obtenção do grau de Mestre.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Pedro Dal Lago
Coorientadora: Prof. Dra. Anelise Souza

Porto Alegre
2016

Catologação na Publicação

Andrade, Fernanda de
Avaliação Funcional de Pacientes Transplantados
Pulmonares Intervivos / Fernanda de Andrade. -- 2016.
86 f. : 30 cm.

Dissertação (mestrado) -- Universidade Federal de
Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre, Programa de
Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Reabilitação, 2016.

Orientador(a) : Prof.Dr.Pedro Dal Lago ;
coorientador(a) : Profa. Dra. Anelise Sonza.

1. transplante pulmonar. 2. doadores vivos. 3.
reabilitação. 4. função pulmonar. 5. capacidade
funcional. I. Título.

Sistema de Geração de Ficha Catalográfica da UFCSPA com os dados
fornecidos pelo(a) autor(a).

DEDICATÓRIA

Á Deus, pelo dom de respirar e viver.

AGRADECIMENTOS

Aos meus pais, pelo apoio e amor incondicional, compreendendo os momentos de ausência, e sempre dando auxílio e cuidado, em todos os momentos.

A minha mãe, que é inspiração de pessoa, uma mulher de coragem, exemplo e também de um grande coração, que me incentivou na busca dos meus sonhos;

Ao meu orientador, Prof. Dr. Pedro Dal Lago, pelo seu permanente apoio e intensiva preocupação científica na confecção deste trabalho. Sem ele, o mesmo teria sido muito mais difícil;

A querida co-orientadora, Profa. Dra. Anelise Sonza, por estar sempre disponível para tudo, apoiando, buscando alternativas e soluções para os empecilhos, mesmo que distante.

Ao Prof. Dr. José Camargo, inigualável mestre, por dar-me a oportunidade de trabalhar ao seu lado e mostrar-me a cada dia o apaixonante mundo do transplante pulmonar;

A Ms. Juliessa Florian, que me acolheu com tanto apreço na Reabilitação Pulmonar, auxiliou nas coletas de dados, depositou sua confiança em mim, permitindo-me ainda me apaixonar pela área;

Ao Dr. Spencer M. Camargo, por ter me incentivado para a realização do meu mestrado e pelos ensinamentos durante o período de convívio, pela paciência e também pela amizade;

Aos meus colegas da Reabilitação Pulmonar, Sheila Machado, Dr. Paulo Z. Teixeira, Dra. Letícia Sanchez, Gustavo Reinaldo, Cíntia Araújo, Betina Foscarini, os quais não somente descobri grandes profissionais, mas também amizades perduráveis;

Aos profissionais da Equipe de Transplante Pulmonar, á Sônia do Arquivo da Santa Casa, pelo acolhimento e colaboração na coleta de dados.

Gratidão também a todos funcionários do Pavilhão Pereira Filho, por fazerem dessa grande Instituição que foi meu segundo lar;

À Kelly, secretária de capacidade indiscutível, por dedicar-me seu tempo na organização dos prontuários e sempre que possível marcar as avaliações;

As queridas colegas de mestrado, Tânia V. Capelari, Marília Lambrecht e Giovana Barcellos, cada uma de vocês além de colegas, mostraram-se amigas e confidentes, e tornaram o dia-a-dia mais agradável, e podem acreditar, eu não seria a mesma sem vocês, por tantas vezes estávamos lado a lado, sabendo que uma não deixaria a outra cair.

A todos os pacientes pela compreensão, paciência durante minhas ausências e horários conflitantes.

A todos os pacientes e seus familiares da Reabilitação Pulmonar e do Transplante Pulmonar Intervivos, pelo incentivo incondicional, vocês são pedaços de coragem, gratidão e afeto.

EPIGRAFE

“Aqueles que passam por nós não vão
sós, não nos deixam sós. Deixam um
pouco de si, levam um pouco de nós. ”

Antoine de Saint-Exupéry

RESUMO

O transplante pulmonar tem sido considerado uma alternativa para melhora da qualidade e expectativa de vida aos pacientes com pneumopatias crônicas avançadas. Uma das formas de transplante pulmonar é o método bilobar intervivos, uma modalidade em que se utilizam os lobos pulmonares de dois doadores vivos em um receptor. Esta técnica tem sido uma alternativa pulmonar, capacidade funcional, força muscular respiratória, nível de dispneia, atividades de vida diária e atividade física além da qualidade de vida. Foi realizada estatística descritiva e na comparação das medidas pré e pós-transplante foi utilizado o teste GEE ($p < 0,05$) e com IC de 95%. A análise foi realizada no programa SPSS versão 21.0. Foram incluídos no estudo 30 pacientes, 17 meninos e 13 meninas. Treze sujeitos vivos foram avaliados pós-transplante e apresentaram melhora na capacidade vital forçada, teste de caminhada de 6 minutos, escala de Borg e saturação de O_2 na comparação pré e pós-transplante ($p < 0,01$). O grau de dispneia apresentado pós-transplante foi leve. Os resultados de qualidade de vida, atividade de vida diária e atividade física foram semelhantes aos previstos na literatura quando comparados aos transplantados pulmonares cadavéricos pós-procedimento. Os valores de pressão inspiratória e expiratória máxima foram avaliados pós e apresentaram valores inferiores aos de crianças e adolescentes saudáveis. Em suma, foi verificada melhora da capacidade funcional e da função pulmonar após o transplante pulmonar intervivos, além de melhora na qualidade de vida. terapêutica para os pacientes pediátricos, proporcionando uma melhora na qualidade de vida destes pacientes. O Brasil é um dos países precursores desta técnica e a sobrevida dos pacientes é variável. Para aumentar as chances de sobrevida é necessário o acompanhamento multidisciplinar permitindo a adesão ao tratamento à longo prazo. Assim, o presente estudo tem como objetivo verificar o perfil da função pulmonar e da capacidade funcional dos pacientes submetidos ao transplante pulmonar intervivos, no período de 1999 a 2015, realizados na Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre. Este trabalho caracteriza-se como um estudo observacional clínico e retrospectivo, transversal, onde pacientes transplantados intervivos que estavam em acompanhamento médico foram avaliados quanto à função.

Palavras-chave: Transplante pulmonar; transplante intervivos; doadores vivos; capacidade funcional; função pulmonar.

ABSTRACT

Lung transplantation has been considered an alternative to improve the quality and life expectancy for patients with advanced chronic lung diseases. One form of lung transplantation is the donor bilobar method, a method in which they use the lung lobes from two living donors in a receiver. This technique has been a therapeutic alternative for pediatric patients, providing an improved quality of life of these patients. Brazil is one of the forerunners countries of this technique and the survival of patients is variable. To increase the chances of survival the multidisciplinary approach is necessary allowing adherence to long-term treatment. Thus, this study aims to determine the profile of lung function and functional capacity of patients undergoing living donor lung transplantation from 1999 to 2015, held at the Santa Casa de Misericordia Hospital. It is characterized as a clinical observational study, retrospective, cross-sectional, where living-donor lobar lung transplantation (LDLLT) patients who were in medical care were assessed for lung function, functional capacity, respiratory muscle strength, level of dyspnea, activities of daily living and physical activity in addition to the quality of life. Descriptive statistics was performed and for the comparison between pre- and post-transplant the GEE test ($p < 0.05$) was used with 95% CI. The analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0 program. Thirty patients were included in the study, 17 boys and 13 girls. Thirteen alive subjects were evaluated post-transplant and showed improvement in forced vital capacity, 6-minute walk test, Borg scale and O_2 saturation comparing pre and post transplantation conditions ($p < 0.01$). The degree of dyspnea after transplantation was light. The results of quality of life, activities of daily living and physical activity were similar to those provided in the literature when compared to after cadaveric lung transplantation procedure. The values of inspiratory and expiratory pressure were evaluated post LDLLT procedure and showed lower values compared to healthy children and adolescents, as expected. In short, functional capacity, lung function and quality of life were improved after LDLLT in children.

Key Words: Lung transplantation; donor transplantation; living donors; functional capacity; lung function.

SUMÁRIO

1 INTRODUÇÃO	1
1.1 OBJETIVOS.....	5
2 REVISÃO DE LITERATURA	6
2.1 HISTÓRIA DO TRANSPLANTE PULMONAR	6
2.2 DOENÇAS PULMONARES AVANÇADAS DA INFÂNCIA E ADOLESCÊNCIA	6
2.3 PRÉ TRANSPLANTE	9
2.4 PROCEDIMENTO TRANSPLANTE BILOBAR INTERVIVOS	10
2.5 COMPLICAÇÕES DO TRANSPLANTE PULMONAR	12
2.6 REABILITAÇÃO PULMONAR	14
3 REFERENCIAS DA REVISÃO	18
4 ARTIGO	32
5 CONCLUSÃO GERAL	62
ANEXOS.....	63
ANEXO A - Normas De Publicação Da Revista	63
Instructions for Authors.....	63
ANEXO B- Parecer CEP ou UFCSPA	79
ANEXO C- Termo de Consentimento Livre e Esclarecido (TCLE).....	82

1 INTRODUÇÃO

Atualmente o transplante pulmonar tem sido uma alternativa terapêutica aos pacientes em fase terminal de doenças pulmonares, e seu objetivo é proporcionar melhora da qualidade de vida e do prognóstico (1). A indicação para o transplante pulmonar ocorre após uma avaliação minuciosa levando em consideração critérios como: pneumopatia crônica sem resposta a diferentes terapias medicamentosas associadas, avanço progressivo da doença, condição psicológica e social do paciente e da sua família (2,3).

A partir dos dados do último Registro Brasileiro de Transplantes da Associação Brasileira de Transplante de Órgãos (ABTO), foram registrados até 2015 em território nacional, um aumento na evolução do número de transplantes pulmonares, de 67 em 2014 para 73 em 2015, sendo realizados no estado do Rio Grande do Sul 35 transplantes no ano, 4 com doadores vivos. O procedimento de transplante pulmonar é realizado prioritariamente em quatro estados brasileiros: São Paulo, Ceará, Minas Gerais e Rio Grande do Sul. No Brasil, o Rio Grande do Sul é o único estado a realizar o método de transplante intervivos(4).

É visto que o crescimento das listas de espera por doação de órgãos no Brasil, principalmente nos últimos 5 anos, tem como fatores de importância a escassez de órgãos doados tanto adultos como pediátricos e poucas e equipes atuantes na realização do procedimento de transplante pulmonar (5).

No ano de 2015a lista de espera reduziu para 69 receptores no RS, e, nesse período, foram realizados três transplantes pulmonares de doadores vivos (6).

A avaliação inicial do candidato ao transplante envolve provas de função pulmonar, exames laboratoriais, exames de imagem, exames imunológicos, avaliação psicológica e psiquiátrica, avaliação nutricional, avaliação funcional e social do paciente e sua família (2,9). Em seguida, o paciente é encaminhado à lista de transplantes do centro cadastrado (10). Todos os pacientes cadastrados são primeiramente colocados na lista de espera com indicação de substituição pulmonar unilateral ou bilateral (2,11).

Após avaliação minuciosa, ou ainda avanço da doença, tempo de espera na lista de doadores cadavéricos é superior a dois anos e/ou existência de incompatibilidades sanguíneas ou painel imunológico positivo, a equipe médica sugere o método de transplante pulmonar bilobar intervivos (11–15).

Este método apresenta uma maior compatibilidade sanguínea e imunológica, menor risco de rejeição do enxerto além de ser mais rápido por se tratar de um procedimento eletivo que pode ser realizado com doadores familiares (16,17). Como critérios, o paciente deve ter menos de 18 anos, com um diagnóstico de doença pulmonar avançada e agravada recentemente; ainda, com tempo de permanência em lista de espera superior a dois anos e com a família engajada no processo de transplante intervivos (18-23).

O transplante intervivos é um método cirúrgico bilobar que é realizado em crianças principalmente em doenças como a fibrose cística (24-25) e a bronquiolite obliterante (26,27), pois apresentam grandes escores de morbimortalidade antes da adolescência (28).

Como consequência das patologias os pacientes apresentam dependência de oxigênio e redução da funcionalidade. Estes fatores acarretam má qualidade de vida da criança e gera complicações sistêmicas como alterações hepáticas, cardíacas, nutricionais e respiratórias (27,29).

Mundialmente o transplante pulmonar intervivos é indicado devido ao número de doadores pediátricos ser escasso (29,30). Os Estados Unidos e o Japão são países que realizaram ou realizam o procedimento; o Japão o faz (31), por exemplo, pois não aceita a realização do transplante de órgãos de indivíduos que vieram a óbito; já os EUA realizava o procedimento com sucesso até a criação e uniformização dos transplantes gerenciados pela *United Network for Organ Sharing* (UNOS)(32).

No transplante pulmonar intervivos são realizados seis procedimentos cirúrgicos simultaneamente sendo eles: 2 lobectomias inferiores nos doadores hígidos, 2 pneumonectomias no receptor e seguidas de 2 implantações de lobos inferiores dos doadores no receptor; assim, o risco cirúrgico e as complicações imediatas e tardias são maiores no receptor do que propriamente nos doadores hígidos (2,33–38).

Evitam-se ao máximo os riscos aos doadores, principalmente para a preservação da função dos lobos restantes (39). Os receptores recebem

monitorização especial no período pós-operatório e permanecem em ambiente hospitalar, em geral, durante 3 semanas (40).

As complicações pulmonares do transplante pulmonar intervivos são semelhantes aos demais procedimentos de transplante pulmonar (35,41). Os pacientes podem apresentar rejeição do enxerto (hiperaguda e aguda), sepse, injúrias de reperfusão, infecções, fraqueza muscular respiratória, dentre outros(2,35). Porém, as rejeições agudas podem acontecer no período pós-operatório tardio além das rejeições crônicas do enxerto que tornam necessário o uso de diferentes medicações imunossupressoras, podendo ter o desenvolvimento de bronquiolite obliterante ou ainda necessidade de re-transplante (42–44).

Como fatores que podem influenciar o aparecimento de complicações pós-operatórias, citam-se o tempo da circulação extracorpórea, o tempo de permanência de drenos torácicos, a redução de anestésicos, a retirada da ventilação mecânica invasiva, dor, processo cicatricial, evolução imunológica e o início do uso de imunossupressores (21, 45-46).

No primeiro ano, há maiores índices de mortalidade, devido às afecções peri-operatórias e pós-operatórias imediatas, sendo que a média estimada de sobrevida após o transplante é de aproximadamente 5 anos (47–49). Entretanto, estudos reportam resultados mais positivos no transplante intervivos quando comparados àqueles com doadores cadavéricos (75% vs. 50% de sobrevida em 5 anos) (21,50).

Para que os pacientes intervivos restabeleçam a funcionalidade e o condicionamento físico necessário para as atividades de vida diária (AVDs), a reabilitação pulmonar torna-se importante (51-52). A reabilitação pulmonar atua no aumento da força muscular periférica, além de proporcionar a reeducação dos hábitos e afazeres diários, bem como a educação, para prevenir complicações decorrentes do sedentarismo (49,53–57).

O transplante pulmonar intervivos é específico e complexo na técnica cirúrgica, no manejo pós-operatório e na realização da avaliação do candidato, na seleção dos doadores, no procedimento cirúrgico e na reabilitação (58).

Assim o acompanhamento rigoroso e contínuo desses pacientes é fundamental para otimizar os resultados do procedimento do transplante.

O monitoramento das complicações tardias, do nível de atividade física,

das funções pulmonares, do nível de dispneia e da qualidade de vida na alta destes pacientes é necessário e devem ser realizados constantemente, pois após a alta, os pacientes devem realizar 3 meses de reabilitação (56).

Tendo em vista a necessidade do acompanhamento pós-transplante e alta da reabilitação pulmonar desses pacientes, a questão que norteia esse estudo é: Qual o perfil da função pulmonar e da capacidade funcional dos pacientes transplantados pulmonares intervivos realizados na Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre no período de 1999 a 2015?

1.1 OBJETIVOS

1.1.1 Objetivo Principal

Caracterizar o perfil da função pulmonar e da capacidade funcional de pacientes submetidos ao transplante pulmonar intervivos, no período de 1999 a 2015, realizados na Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre.

1.1.2 Objetivos Específicos

- a) Identificar os efeitos do procedimento de transplante pulmonar intervivos e seu impacto na saúde geral do paciente;
- b) Verificar a qualidade de vida após transplante pulmonar;
- c) Identificar o nível de atividade física e atividades de vida diária dos pacientes transplantados pulmonares intervivos após procedimento;
- d) Avaliar a força muscular respiratória após procedimento de transplante pulmonar;
- e) Avaliar o nível de dispneia dos pacientes transplantados intervivos, após procedimento.

2 REVISÃO DE LITERATURA

2.1 HISTÓRIA DO TRANSPLANTE PULMONAR

O primeiro transplante de pulmonar com humanos foi realizado em 1963, nos Estados Unidos, sendo James Hardy, da Universidade de Missisipi (EUA) o responsável pelos primeiros procedimentos(59). Ao longo do tempo, o método cirúrgico foi aprimorado e mais seletivo conforme a evolução (60).

A evolução dos transplantes na década de 70 foi desencadeada com o avanço da farmacologia e especialmente com a descoberta da ciclosporina. Através deste fármaco a imunossupressão clínica passou a ser mais efetiva e possibilitou a redução de complicações com os órgãos enxertados, menores riscos a infecções tanto pré quanto pós-operatórias bem como proporcionou o manejo precoce das rejeições que acometiam os transplantados (61,62).

Os primeiros relatos de equipe multidisciplinares atuando em transplantes de pulmão foram a partir de 1983, no Canadá (12, 63-64). Na América Latina, o primeiro transplante pulmonar unilateral ocorreu em 1989, no Complexo Hospitalar da Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil, realizado pelo cirurgião torácico José Camargo e sua equipe. Respectivamente em 1993 e 1999 foram realizados o primeiro transplante bilateral e o primeiro transplante bilobar intervivos (65–67).

A evolução dos transplantes inclui a rigidez dos critérios de seleção dos candidatos (68-70), o avanço da tecnologia e a experiência das equipes, uma vez que, novos elementos passaram a ser utilizados na seleção de procedimentos para cada enfermidade, bem como novos instrumentos a serem utilizados, como por exemplo, a utilização da membrana extracorpórea oxigenada (ECMO)(71-78).

2.2 DOENÇAS PULMONARES AVANÇADAS DA INFÂNCIA E ADOLESCÊNCIA

As indicações mais comuns para a realização do transplante de pulmão podem ser agrupadas em quatro categorias: as pneumopatias obstrutivas que

incluem: DPOC, enfisema por deficiência de alfa-1-antitripsina e bronquiolite obliterante (BO); as pneumopatias restritivas que englobam fibrose pulmonar (FPI), sarcoidose, histiocitose de células de Langerhans, linfangioleiomiomatose e silicose; as pneumopatias supurativas, como a fibrose cística (FC) e bronquiectasias; e por fim, a última categoria são as pneumopatias vasculares, as quais incluem hipertensão da artéria pulmonar primária (HPP) ou secundária (79–82).

Na infância, as apresentações das categorias citadas acima condizem com evidências de bronquiolite obliterante, em lactentes ou crianças pequenas; fibrose pulmonar, com menor incidência de casos; fibrose cística, responsável pela maioria dos procedimentos de transplante pulmonar em crianças e adolescentes, por ser uma doença de manifestação gênica; e ainda a hipertensão pulmonar, devido à relação estreita com as cardiopatias congênitas no recém-nascido(28).

A pneumopatia obstrutiva prevalente na infância é a bronquiolite obliterante, que é originada por inflamação das vias aéreas de menor calibre (26). O surgimento da inflamação juntamente com a fibroseperibronquiolar terminal resulta na obstrução total/parcial do lúmen do brônquio, acarretando a obstrução crônica do fluxo aéreo (83).

A bronquiolite obliterante tem incidência maior em lactentes do sexo masculino, e pode estar relacionada à inalação de substâncias tóxicas, fatores imunológicos humorais, síndromes aspirativas, doenças autoimunes, como por exemplo: artrite reumatoide e síndrome de Sjogren, alterações imunológicas, fármacos, fatores genéticos entre outras tantas evidências ainda em estudo (84-85). Por ser de curso clínico variável, naturalmente infecciosa, é comumente confundida com doenças sibilantes e pós infecciosa (26,86).

A fibrose cística (FC) representa as pneumopatias suporativas da infância com maior expressividade, pois é uma doença hereditária autossômica recessiva, com alteração no cromossomo 7 e tem manifestações clínicas diversas, incluindo: tosse crônica persistente, histórico de bronquiolite de repetição, síndrome do lactente chiador, dentre outros (24,87–89).

Há diferentes mutações gênicas expressas na FC, mas a mutação $\Delta F508$ é responsável pela possibilidade de indicação de transplante pulmonar para a criança, pois fisiopatologicamente há alteração nos canais de cloro (Cl), sódio (Na) e água (H_2O)(88).O resultado é a desidratação das secreções e aumento da viscosidade das mesmas favorecendo a obstrução de ductos além da reação inflamatória e conseqüentemente resultando no processo de fibrose (90).

Os pacientes com FC apresentam manifestações sistêmicas da doença sendo elas: alterações gastrointestinais, pancreáticas, presença de diabetes *melittus*, mas o acometimento do aparelho respiratório é progressivo e de intensidade variável e tem como determinante a presença de muco viscoso nas vias aéreas de pequeno calibre além do *clearance* mucociliar diminuído (91-92).

As infecções podem ser acompanhadas da colonização bacteriana secundária à retenção de secreção favorece metaplasia do epitélio brônquico, a impactação mucóide periférica e a desorganização da estrutura ciliar com infiltração linfocitária aguda e crônica, o que leva o paciente a maiores exacerbações e maior período e periodicidade das internações hospitalares além da piora clínica e funcional dos mesmos crescentemente, impossibilitando a realização das atividades básicas de vida diária além da dispneia em repouso (79,93).

A Hipertensão Arterial Pulmonar na infância tem como definição, mais adaptável à prática pediátrica, a relação da pressão da artéria pulmonar (PAP) com a pressão arterial sistólica sistêmica (PAS), sendo o critério diagnóstico de HP : $PAP > 50\%$ da PAS (94).

A relação da HP vai influenciar no baixo débito cardíaco e esse vai ocasionar uma falha de crescimento, palidez nas extremidades, letargia, irritabilidade, taquipneia e taquicardia, cianose, entre outros (95).

A nível vascular há a diminuição de substâncias vasodilatadoras e antiproliferativas prostaciclina, óxido nítrico (ON) e aumento da produção de substâncias vasoconstritoras e mitógenos (endotelina-1)(96). Por ter um

comportamento diferenciado na infância, há uma maior reatividade dos vasos pulmonares impedindo estabelecer o prognóstico correto, mas esse em muitos casos é promissor (97).

Para que o transplante pulmonar seja considerado uma alternativa, são analisados os dados da *International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation* (ISHLT) que evidenciam a sobrevida de 65% em dois anos além da ineficiente resposta medicamentosa à terapia vasodilatadora ou ainda piora clínica e hemodinâmica. Apesar disso, é um procedimento seletivo devido ao risco de mortalidade e a resposta medicamentosa é ineficiente (98).

2.3 PRÉ TRANSPLANTE

O Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS) possui um sistema de cadastro único de transplantes que é regulado pelas Centrais de Transplantes dos Estados e os mesmos enfatizam que para tal procedimento ocorrer como o transplante de qualquer órgão é necessário que o doador esteja diagnosticado com morte encefálica e que a família autorize a doação de órgãos (17,99).

A partir da liberação da família e comprovação do protocolo de morte encefálica é acionada a Central de Transplantes que mantém atualizada a lista de espera de receptores a espera de órgãos, (fígado, rim, pâncreas, coração, osso, pulmão, pele, córneas e multivisceral) e aciona as equipes pertinentes regionais e locais para a avaliação do órgão (7-8,10).

Para a retirada dos órgãos há diferentes tempos, sendo o pulmão, dentre os órgãos sólidos, o mais complicado para a preservação depois da retirada (100). O mesmo é mantido em isquemia fria por um período estimado de quatro a seis horas e isso influencia em longo prazo e ainda os valores de sucesso do procedimento na colocação do receptor permanecem inferiores aos dos demais órgãos (17). Em seguida, é acionada a central para que sejam aproveitados os órgãos nos devidos receptores para os possíveis receptores próximos ao local.

Na espera dessa consequência, da chamada da Central de transplantes para o hospital e para o paciente, já está acontecendo para o receptor a fase pré-transplante, onde o candidato começa realizar consultas médicas, exames, imunizações, cuidados nutricionais bem como a reabilitação pulmonar (68). Essa fase consiste em um período de instabilidades, angústia pela espera por um doador na lista do transplante (75-76). E, em geral, os pacientes tendem a apresentar deterioração rápida de sua condição clínica, tanto do aspecto pulmonar bem como da capacidade funcional, hospitalizações e demais complicações (77).

O receptor pode ser indicado para transplante pulmonar unilateral e bilateral (58). O transplante pulmonar unilateral quando comparado com o transplante pulmonar bilateral é um procedimento cirúrgico mais simples, com menor risco de morbimortalidade e com resultados satisfatórios, além de se poder usar o mesmo doador para dois receptores diferentes, favorecendo mais pacientes da fila de espera (68,78).

Já os pacientes com indicação de um transplante bilateral, apresentam maior duração do procedimento e complexidade, mas tem um ganho funcional melhor e tem-se comprovado ser a melhor alternativa para as doenças hiperinsufletivas, além de permitir maior sobrevida e melhor qualidade de vida (68).

2.4 PROCEDIMENTO TRANSPLANTE BILOBAR INTERVIVOS

O transplante pulmonar bilobar intervivos é um método cirúrgico de transplante pulmonar proveniente da experiência de Starnes (1994) que tinha como objetivo obter órgãos de um tamanho adequado para receptores pequenos, uma vez que a demanda era crescente de pacientes em estado crítico e doadores pediátricos são raridade (18,22,37,45,101).

Surgiu assim a possibilidade de entre dois doadores familiares, com grupo sanguíneo compatível ao da criança, ofertar um lobo inferior de cada doador para que o receptor pediátrico pudesse sobreviver (16). Foi considerada uma proposta ousada e inteligente, porque além de uma melhor

compatibilidade há a redução da resistência imunológica devido à similitude imunológica decorrente do parentesco entre receptor e doadores, reduzindo o risco de rejeições crônicas após o transplante (18,102).

Porém, esses pacientes apresentam inúmeros episódios de rejeição aguda, uma vez que, o mecanismo imunológico é ativo e também assimétrico em consequência das características diferentes dos dois doadores em questão e devido à competência imunológica infantil (85,103).

Essa tolerância imunológica infantil com os dois doadores familiares permite que sobrevida em 5 anos seja de 75%, e com auxílio da medicação imunossupressora, a evolução e permanência do enxerto não são prejudicados, e o número de rejeições podem ser reduzidas (104).

Como procedimento cirúrgico o transplante bilobar intervivos é demorado e de alto risco, uma vez que, o receptor é seccionado para a retirada dos pulmões, primeiro lado esquerdo depois lado direito e segue com a colocação de um lobo inferior de cada um dos doadores, que são submetidos ao mesmo tempo a uma lobectomia (36).

O tempo de cirurgia é elevado, as medicações de anestesia e sedação precisam ser eficazes para todo esse tempo, e ainda, pode ocorrer a necessidade do uso de circulação extracorpórea para um dos lados ou os dois eletivamente, tornando o aspecto da incisão cirúrgica maior além da permanência de drenos torácicos por alguns dias (98).

Todo o processo pré-transplante, como consultas, reabilitação e exames funcionam igualmente ao dos receptores cadavéricos, pois os mesmos encontram-se ainda ativos em lista até a decisão ser afirmada e a fase pós-transplante desde o tempo de permanência em UTI até a alta hospitalar. Tais procedimentos são similares aos do pós-transplante cadavérico (50,56).

Os doadores não têm apresentado patologias remanescentes ou ainda déficits funcionais relacionados à perda de capacidade pulmonar decorrentes das lobotomias tendo alta assim que possível a capacidade total pulmonar dos doadores deverá ser de 85% do previsto (105).

Esse transplante é favorável ainda ao receptor pediátrico, pois o mesmo ainda está em fase de produção do hormônio do crescimento e demais hormônios, que vem a favorecer positivamente o lobo implantado, a fim de que esse possa evoluir e ter um volume adequado (98).

2.5 COMPLICAÇÕES DO TRANSPLANTE PULMONAR

2.5.1 Disfunções Primárias do Enxerto

As disfunções primárias de enxerto (DPE) ainda são responsáveis por um índice de mortalidade dos transplantes pulmonares em torno de 81% (106) e são responsáveis pelo prognóstico do paciente e pelo tempo de permanência em Unidade de Terapia Intensiva (UTI) e conseqüentemente mais tempo em ambiente hospitalar (106) .

Há incidência elevada de disfunção primária do enxerto (DPE) na recuperação do paciente transplantado que persiste até 72 horas pós, sendo confirmada quando se exclui o quadro de insuficiência respiratória bem como a ausência de alterações radiográficas (68):

A DPE pode ser causada por: infecções, pneumonias por aspiração, complicações vasculares de estenose da veia pulmonar, disfunção cardíaca por sepse ou síndrome da resposta inflamatória sistêmica ou sobrecarga de volume além da rejeição hiperaguda, e são apresentadas pela presença de infiltrados, aumento de resistência vascular e redução da complacência (107).

2.5.2 Infecções

Uma das mais comuns complicações são as infecções devido a contaminação a qual o pulmão do doador foi exposto na UTI; o fato do pulmão ser mantido em isquemia por isso, sem circulação linfática e atividade mucociliar; dor no pós-operatório imediato; o uso de imunossupressores além dos danos causados pela DPE (111).

Antibióticos de largo espectro até o sétimo dia após o transplante são administrados a fim de suprir as necessidades pós-transplante (112).

2.5.3 Insuficiência Renal

A insuficiência renal aguda está associada a maior permanência do paciente em ventilação mecânica, associada ao maior tempo de internação bem como a mortalidade precoce, porém pode ser revertida a função renal e essa pode ser solucionada com o equilíbrio hídrico usando medicações ou ainda usando a diálise de ultra filtração lenta (113).

2.5.4 Rejeições

As rejeições são originárias a partir da resposta dos anticorpos ao órgão enxertado, fazendo com que o sujeito seja exposto a sensibilização em minutos, poucas horas ou tempos depois (114).

As rejeições hiperagudas ocorrem em minutos ou horas após a inserção do enxerto, a aguda ocorre preferencialmente algum tempo após transplante; já a crônica é conhecida pela lesão irreversível crônica da ocorrência da ação dos patógenos (62).

A rejeição hiperaguda é caracterizada em pessoas previamente sensibilizadas ou com incompatibilidade do sistema ABO, sendo as lesões básicas arterite e arteriolite aguda disseminadas, trombose vascular e necrose isquêmica. Assim, no transplante pulmonar é necessário usar provas de reação cruzada que detectam a presença de anticorpos do receptor com os linfócitos do receptor (11,68).

Ainda podem acometer os receptores, as rejeições agudas, que ocorrem depois do transplante e que não são tratadas com os imunossupressores ou ainda, durante a imunoterapia, uma vez que a interferência da imunidade celular, que é caracterizada pela deterioração clínica pode ser tratada com terapia imunossupressora (35).

As rejeições crônicas são caracterizadas pela recorrência de rejeições e as mesmas causam lesões definitivas ao longo do tempo, gerando bronquiólite obliterante. Nesses casos, é cogitada a re-intervenção, se por ventura há sangramento ou ainda sofrimento de anastomoses brônquicas (115-116).

Outras complicações podem ocorrer em menor escala com os pacientes pós-transplante pulmonar, como: doenças abdominais, doenças cardíacas derivadas da sobrecarga além de complicações na caixa torácica, pleurais, hiperinsuflação entre outras. Tais complicações são entendidas como parte do processo minucioso da adaptação pulmonar na nova caixa torácica (117–119).

2.6 REABILITAÇÃO PULMONAR

A reabilitação pulmonar é indicada aos pacientes pré e pós-transplante, ou seja, no programa de transplante pulmonar por ser de extrema importância por ser constituído por uma equipe multiprofissional que atua de maneira interdisciplinar com os pacientes com o mesmo objetivo (126-127).

Os pacientes no pós-transplante tem grande aumento de peso devido ao uso de corticoides para evitar a imunorejeição, sendo assim necessário o cuidado na reabilitação pulmonar. Mesmo com possíveis intercorrências e melhores funções gradativas a reabilitação tem como objetivos: promover o condicionamento, reeducar a postura do paciente devido ao procedimento cirúrgico, readaptar avds, reduzir a toxicidade medicamentosa, evitar rejeições além da melhora da qualidade de vida (124-126).

2.6.1 Capacidade Funcional

Para os pacientes em programa de transplante pulmonar, a reabilitação pulmonar evidencia a avaliação da capacidade funcional, a fim de maximizar a independência funcional do paciente durante as atividades de vida diária por mais tempo possível durante a espera do órgão, o ensinamento de técnicas para eficiente gasto de energia (121).

Indiretamente, haverá o treinamento de exercício físico para aumentar a tolerância ao exercício para fortalecimento dos músculos esqueléticos que auxiliam na realização dos movimentos e produzem energia e evitam a deteriorização dos mesmos. Enfim reduzir e ou amenizar os sintomas para melhorar a qualidade de vida dos pacientes (126).

Os pacientes que realizaram o transplante pulmonar apresentam uma maior perda do percentual de fibras musculares tipo I, uma redução do limiar de lactato, menor função muscular, além de redução de VO_2 max de pico de 40% a 60% pred (122-123). Esses fatores pós-transplante imediato se deve ao tempo prolongado de internação ou ainda pelos efeitos dos imunossupressores.

Porem, em pós-operatório tardio os pacientes já apresentam escores normais de capacidade funcional retornando a funções semelhantes a pacientes com leves patologias pulmonares (124). Os transplantados bilateralmente apresentam escores maiores que os pacientes que transplantaram unilateralmente (122).

2.6.2 Função Pulmonar

Por se tratar de pacientes com pneumopatias crônicas em estado avançado, os mesmos apresentam perda de função pulmonar gradativa e conforme a patologia irão apresentar restrições de capacidade vital bem como redução dos volumes pulmonares, resultando em queixas frequentes de dispneia em repouso e a pequenos esforços das atividades básicas de vida diária (ABVD) como: banhar-se, mover-se de um comodo da casa para outro, para dormir, entre outros esforços que não são mais possíveis a esses pacientes (120).

O fator limitante, a oxigenioterapia, resulta na intoleancia ao exercício associada à progressão da doença, e se agrava conforme o tempo passa na lista de espera para transplante pulmonar, sendo responsáveis pelos impactos negativos na qualidade de vida, pois há restrições para deslocamentos para

atividades ao ar livre, bem como ao convívio social e demais atividades que exijam mais esforço ou capacidade física (121).

Com a grande descompensação dos pacientes dos músculos respiratórios e assim o uso da musculatura acessória com frequência devido a fadiga e a perda da força dos músculos respiratórios devido a dependência do oxigênio, a incapacidade e a deteriorização, o padrão respiratório torna-se prioritariamente apical, com frequências respiratórias variadas devido ao esforço (122).

Os pacientes apresentam dores posturais em região cervico-torácica e ainda dores nas costelas, pois dependendo a doença o esforço respiratório causa além da má postura a falta de força muscular respiratória, principalmente diafragmática (123).

Os valores de força respiratória pós transplante melhoram significativamente dentro do primeiro ano, e são exames de controle para rejeições ou injúrias. Já para a força muscular respiratória, pouco se sabe quanto tempo é necessário pós o procedimento para que mesma retorne, pois dependendo a cirurgia temos a ação ou dissecação do diafragma, paralisia do mesmo, tempo de tubo ou ventilação mecânica, que influencia no pós-operatório do paciente e na força muscular respiratória (124-126).

2.6.2 Qualidade de vida pré-transplante

A qualidade de vida dos pacientes devido às condições antes do procedimento é restrita, os adultos não possuem vida social e o relacionamento com a família e os filhos são limitados. Devido à impossibilidade de deslocamentos, por exemplo, as crianças não brincam por não poderem correr e muitas não têm amigos, pois não frequentam a escola ou ainda faltam muito no ano letivo escolar devido às doenças. (49,124).

A família cria uma espécie de proteção aos pacientes, pois como são sensíveis a qualquer alteração climática, ambiental, comportamental ou ainda estrutural, tornando os passeios raros e, a vitalidade bem como a disposição e bem estar, são prejudicados. (125-127).

Além dos benefícios de qualidade de vida e adaptação ao período pré-transplante, a equipe multidisciplinar, mais especificamente a fisioterapia, tem como objetivo acompanhar o curso, as condições físicas e funcionais do paciente desde o processo de seleção como pós-transplante e alta, tornando assim, essa necessidade com os transplantados pulmonares intervivos, pois os mesmos apresentam além da realização de um procedimento diferenciado, um acompanhamento durante a adolescência e vida adulta.

3 REFERENCIAS DA REVISÃO

1. D'Império F. Critérios de indicação e de seleção dos candidatos para transplante de pulmão. Indications and selection criteria of lung transplant candidates. *Pulmão RJ*. 2006;15(3):175–83.
2. Camargo JJ, Felicetti JC, Camargo SM. Transplante de Pulmão - Aspectos Técnicos. In: *Tópicos de Cirurgia Torácica*. Sociedade Brasileira de Cirurgia Torácica. 2011;1.
3. Janete FB, Fernandes PMP, Medeiros IL De. Transplante pulmonar - Lung transplantation. *Rev Med*. 2009;88(3):111–22.
4. Reis, FP, Gomes, HP, Pimenta, LL, Etzel A. Morte encefálica e transplante de órgãos e tecidos: o entendimento dos alunos do curso de Medicina. *Revista Brasileira de Terapia Intensiva*, 2013;25(4):279-283.
5. David-Neto E. Rbt (2007-2014). Relatório Bras da Assoc Bras Transpl Orgãos. 2014; XX (1).
6. Notifica JT. Notificações e doadores efetivos, Percentual de Efetivação das Notificações de Morte Encefálica. *Cent Transplantes do Rio Grande do Sul*. 2015; 1:1–5.
7. Marinho A. Um estudo sobre as filas para transplantes no Sistema Único de Saúde brasileiro. Vol. 22, *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*. 2006. p. 2229–39.
8. Marinho A, Cardoso S de S, Almeida VV de. Disparidades nas filas para transplantes de órgãos nos estados brasileiros. Vol. 26, *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*. 2010. p. 786–96.
9. Cardoso PFG. As novas perspectivas do transplante de pulmão: da preservação convencional à perfusão pulmonar ex vivo com condicionamento pulmonar. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2009;35(11):1057–9.
10. D'Império F. Morte encefálica, cuidados ao doador de órgãos e transplante de pulmão. Vol. 19, *Revista Brasileira de Terapia Intensiva*. 2007. p. 74–84.

11. Orens JB, Estenne M, Arcasoy S, Conte J V., Corris P, Egan JJ, et al. International Guidelines for the Selection of Lung Transplant Candidates: 2006 Update-A Consensus Report From the Pulmonary Scientific Council of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *J Hear Lung Transplant*. 2006;25(7):745–55.
12. Ramirez JC, Patterson GA, Winton TL, de Hoyos AL, Miller JD, Maurer JR. Bilateral lung transplantation for cystic fibrosis. The Toronto Lung Transplant Group. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1992;103(2):287–93; discussion 294.
13. Nathan SD. Lung transplantation: Disease-specific considerations for referral. Vol. 127, *Chest*. 2005. p. 1006–16.
14. Spruit MA., Singh SJ, Garvey C, Zu Wallack R, Nici L, Rochester C, et al. An official American thoracic society/European respiratory society statement: Key concepts and advances in pulmonary rehabilitation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;188(8).
15. Date H, Aoe M, Sano Y, Goto K, Kawada M, Shimizu N. Bilateral living-donor lobar lung transplantation. *Multimed Man Cardio-Thoracic Surg*. 2005 (0809):2-5. Available from: <http://mmcts.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1510/mmcts.2004.000976>.
16. Starnes VA, Barr ML, Schenkel FA, Horn M V, Cohen RG, Hagen JA, et al. Experience with living-donor lobar transplantation for indications other. In: *Tópicos de Cirurgia Torácica*. Sociedade Brasileira de Cirurgia Torácica. 2011;1.
17. Ambrósio A, Magalhães MJ. Aspectos éticos da legislação de transplante e doação de órgãos no Brasil. *Rev Bioética*. 2009; 17:61–75.
18. Woo MS, MacLaughlin EF, Horn M V, Wong PC, Rowland JM, Barr ML, et al. Living donor lobar lung transplantation: the pediatric experience. *Pediatr Transplant*. 1998;2(3):185–90.
19. Wells WJ, Barr ML. The ethics of living donor lung transplantation. *Thorac Surg Clin*. 2005;15(4):519–25.

20. Starnes VA, Lewiston NJ, Luikart H, Theodore J, Stinson EB, Shumway NE. Current trends in lung transplantation. Lobar transplantation and expanded use of single lungs. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1992; 104 (4): 1060–5; discussion 1065–6.
21. Bowdish ME, Pessotto R, Barbers RG, Schenkel F a., Starnes V a., Barr ML. Long-term pulmonary function after living-donor lobar lung transplantation in adults. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;79(2):418–25.
22. Starnes VA, Barr ML, Cohen RG. Lobar transplantation. Indications, technique and outcome. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1994;108(3):401–3. Available from:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=8078333.
23. Starnes VA, Barr ML, Cohen RG. Lobar transplantation. Indications, technique, and outcome. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1994;108(3):403–10; discussion 410–1.
24. Goss CH, Flume P a, O'Sullivan BP, Robinson K a, Mogayzel Jr PJ, Willey-Courand DB, et al. Cystic Fibrosis Pulmonary Guidelines. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med [Internet].* 2007;176:957–69. Available from: <http://scholar.google.com/scholarhl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Cystic+Fibrosis+Pulmonary+Guidelines#0>.
25. Liou TG, Adler FR, Cahill BC, FitzSimmons SC, Huang D, Hibbs JR, et al. Survival Effect of Lung Transplantation Among Patients with Cystic Fibrosis. *Jama.* 2001;286(21):2683–9.
26. Zhang L, Silva FA e. Bronquiolite obliterante em crianças. *J Pediatr (Rio J).* 2000;76:185–92.
27. Chen F, Miyagawa-Hayashino A, Yurugi K, Chibana N, Yamada T, Sato M, et al. Redo living-donor lobar lung transplantation for bronchiolitis obliterans associated with antibody-mediated rejection. *Transpl Int.* 2014;27(2):8–12.
28. Sweet SC. Pediatric living donor lobar lung transplantation. In: *Pediatric Transplantation.* 2006. p. 861–8.

29. Slama A, Ghanim B, Klikovits T, Scheed A, Hoda MA, Hoetzenecker K, et al. Lobar lung transplantation-Is it comparable with standard lung transplantation. *Transplant International*. 2014;
30. Starnes VA, Woo MS, MacLaughlin EF, Horn M V, Wong PC, Rowland JM, et al. Comparison of outcomes between living donor and cadaveric lung transplantation in children. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1999;68(6):2279–83; Discussion 2283–4.
31. Oto T, Okada Y, Bando T, Minami M, Shiraishi T, Nagayasu T, et al. Registry of the Japanese society of lung and heart-lung transplantation: The official Japanese lung transplantation report 2012. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2013;61(4):208–11.
32. Yusef RD, Shearon TH, Qian Y, Kotloff R, Barr ML, Sweet S, et al. Lung transplantation in the United States, 1999-2008. *Am J Transplant*. 2010;10(4 Pt2):1047–68.
33. Date H, Aoe M, Nagahiro I, Sano Y, Andou A, Matsubara H, et al. Living-donor lobar lung transplantation for various lung diseases. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2003;126(2):476–81.
34. Date H, Aoe M, Sano Y, Goto K, Kawada M, Shimizu N. Bilateral living-donor lobar lung transplantation. 2005, *Multimedia manual of cardiothoracic surgery: MMCTS/European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery*. 2005. p.mmcts.2004.000083. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25088879>.
35. Janete FB, Samano MN. Complicações Cirúrgicas no Transplante Pulmonar. In: *Tópicos de Cirurgia Torácica*. Sociedade Brasileira de Cirurgia Torácica. 2011.
36. McLean MK, Barr ML, Starnes VA. Living Lobar Lung Transplantation. *Oper Tech Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2007;12(1):47–56.
37. Barr ML, Baker CJ, Schenkel FA, Bowdish ME, Bremner RM, Cohen RG, et al. Living donor lung transplantation: Selection, technique, and outcome. Vol. 33, *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2001. p. 3527–32.

38. Starnes VA, Barr ML, Cohen RG, Hagen JA, Wells WJ, Horn M V, et al. Living-donor lobar lung transplantation experience: intermediate results. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* [Internet]. 1996;112(5):1284–90; discussion 1290–1. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8911325>
39. Prager LM, Wain JC, Roberts DH, Ginns LC. Medical and Psychologic Outcome of Living Lobar Lung Transplant Donors. *J Hear Lung Transplant*. 2006;25(10):1206–12.
40. Starnes VA, Barr ML, Cohen RG, Hagen JA, Wells WJ, Horn M V, et al. Living-donor lobar lung transplantation experience: intermediate results. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1996;112(5):1284–90; discussion 1290–1.
41. Lyu DM, Zamora MR. Medical complications of lung transplantation. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2009;6(16):101–7.
42. Hochegger B, Irion KL, Marchiori E, Bello R, Moreira J, Camargo JJ. Achados tomográficos nas complicações pós-operatórias do transplante pulmonar. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2009;35(3):266–74.
43. Bowdish ME, Barr ML, Schenkel FA, Woo MS, Bremner RM, Horn M V., et al. A decade of living lobar lung transplantation: Perioperative complications after 253 donor lobectomies. In: *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2004. p. 1283–8.
44. Sundset A, Lund MB, Hansen G, Bjørtuft O, Kongerud J, Geiran OR. Airway complications after lung transplantation: Long-term outcome of silicone stenting. *Respiration*. 2012;83(3):245–52.
45. Bowdish ME, Barr ML, Schenkel F a., Woo MS, Bremner RM, Horn M V., et al. A decade of living lobar lung transplantation: Perioperative complications after 253 donor lobectomies. *Am J Transplant*. 2004; 4(8): 1283–8.
46. Hirt SW, Haverich A, Wahlers T, Schäfers HJ, Alken A, Borst HG. Predictive criteria for the need of extracorporeal circulation in single-lung transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1992;54(4):676–80.

47. Lama R, Santos F, Alvarez a., Algar FJ, Baamonde C, Quero F, et al. Analysis of lung transplant recipients surviving beyond 5 years. *Transplant Proc.* 2005;37(3):1523–5.
48. Ihle F, Zimmermann G, Meis T, Hatz R, Czerner S, Frey L, et al. Determinants of Quality of Life After Lung Transplantation. *The Open Transplantation Journal*, 2015, 8, 1-7.
49. Yusen RD. Survival and Quality of Life of Patients Undergoing Lung Transplant. Vol. 32, *Clinics in Chest Medicine*. 2011. p. 253–64.
50. Duarte RT, Linch GFDC, Caregnato RCA. The immediate post-operative period following lung transplantation: mapping of nursing interventions. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem* [Internet]. 2014;22(5):778–84. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S010411692014000500778&lng=en&nrm=iso&tlng=en.
51. Langer D. Rehabilitation in Patients before and after Lung Transplantation *Respiration*. 2015;89(5):353–62. Available from: <http://www.karger.com/?doi=10.1159/000430451>.
52. Langer D, Burtin C, Schepers L, Ivanova A, Verleden G, Decramer M, et al. Exercise training after lung transplantation improves participation in daily activity: A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Transplant.* 2012;12(6):1584–92.
53. Florian J, Rubin A, Mattiello R, Farias F, Jesus J De, Camargo P, et al. Impacto da reabilitação pulmonar na qualidade de vida e na capacidade funcional de pacientes em lista de espera para transplante pulmonar. *J Bras Pneumol.* 2013;39(3):349–56.
54. Bueno G, De KSP, Bueno G, et al. Atuação fisioterapêutica no pré e pós operatório de transplante pulmonar physical therapy in pre-and postoperative lung transplant. *Revista Faculdade Adamantineses Integradas (FAI)* 2012;1–5.
55. Armstrong HF, Gonzalez-Costello J, Thirapatarapong W, Jorde UP, Bartels MN. Effect of lung transplantation on heart rate response to exercise.

- Hear Lung J Acute Crit Care [Internet]. Elsevier Inc; 2015;44(3):246–50. Available from:<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0147956315000151>.
56. Burton JH, Marshall JM, Munro P, Moule W, Snell GI, Westall GP. Rehabilitation and Transition After Lung Transplantation in Children. *Transplant Proc.* 2009;41(1):296–9.
57. Munro PE, Holland E, Bailey M, Button BM, Snell GI. Pulmonary Rehabilitation Following Lung Transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* Elsevier Inc.;2009;41(1):292–5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2008.10.043>.
58. Patterson GA. Indications: Unilateral, bilateral, heart-lung, and lobar transplant procedures. Vol. 18, *Clinics in Chest Medicine*. 1997. p. 225–30.
59. Benfield JR, Wain JC. The history of lung transplantation. *Chest Surg Clin N Am.* 2000;10(1):189–99, xi.
60. Mahida RY, Wiscombe S, Fisher AJ. Current status of lung transplantation. *Chron Respir Dis* [Internet]. 2012;9(2):131–45. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22368267>
61. Snell GI, Westall GP. Immunosuppression for lung transplantation: Evidence to date. Vol. 67, *Drugs*. 2007. p. 1531–9.
62. Bhorade SM, Stern E. Immunosuppression for lung transplantation. *Proc Am Thorac Soc.* 2009;6(1):47–53. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19131530>.
63. Toronto Lung Transplant Group. Unilateral lung transplantation for pulmonary fibrosis. Toronto Lung Transplant Group. Vol. 314, *The New England journal of medicine*. 1986. p. 1140–5.
64. Patterson GA, Maurer JR, Williams TJ, Cardoso PG, Scavuzzo M, Todd TR. Comparison of outcomes of double and single lung transplantation for obstructive lung disease. The Toronto Lung Transplant Group. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Sur.* 1991;101(4):622–3. Available from: <http://>

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2008100

65. Costa CDAO, Camargo JJ, Camargo, SM et al. Experience in the intensive management of early postoperative lung transplantation patients of the Complexo Hospitalar Santa Casa Group of Porto Alegre, Brazil. *Crit Care*. 2009;13. Available from: <http://www.embase.com/search/results?subaction=viewrecord&from=export&id=L70339954\nhttp://dx.doi.org/10.1186/cc7840>.

66. Machuca TN, Schio SM, Camargo SM, Lobato V, Costa CDO, Felicetti JC, et al. Prognostic factors in lung transplantation: the Santa Casa de Porto Alegre experience. *Transplantation*. 2011;91(11):1297–303. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21572382>.

67. Machuca TN, Filho LAS, Schio SM, Camargo SM, Felicetti JC, Camargo JDJP. Twelve-year survival of the first living-donor pediatric lung transplantation in Brazil. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2012;88(5):413–6.

68. Weill D, Benden C, Corris PA, Dark JH, Duane Davis R, Keshavjee S, et al. A consensus document for the selection of lung transplant candidates: 2014-An update from the Pulmonary Transplantation Council of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2014;34(1):1–15. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053249814011814>

69. Machuca, TN. Circulação Extracorpórea X ECMO em transplante pulmonar. *Revista Brasileira da Sociedade Brasileira de Cirurgia Torácica* 2013;2012–4. Available from: http://itarget.com.br/newclients/sbct/wpcontent/uploads/201503/ecmo_cec_em_transplante.pdf.

70. Hook JL, Lederer DJ. Selecting lung transplant candidates: where do current guidelines fall short? Vol. 6, *Expert Review of Respiratory Medicine*. 2012. p. 51–61.

71. Kreider M, Kotloff RM. Selection of candidates for lung transplantation. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2009;6(1):20–7.

72. Starnes VA, Bowdish ME, Woo MS, Barbers RG, Schenkel FA, Horn M

V, et al. A decade of living lobar lung transplantation: Recipient outcomes. *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* 2004;(January);114-122.

73. Christie JD, Edwards LB, Kucheryavaya AY, Aurora P, Dobbels F, Kirk R, et al. The Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Twenty-seventh official adult lung and heart-lung transplant report 2010. Vol. 29, *Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2010. p. 1104–18.

74. Orens JB, Boehler A, De Perrot M, Estenne M, Glanville AR, Keshavjee S, et al. A review of lung transplant donor acceptability criteria. Vol. 22, *Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2003. p. 1183–200.

75. Vermeulen KM, Bosma OH, Van Der Bij W, Koëter GH, TenVergert EM. Stress, psychological distress, and coping in patients on the waiting list for lung transplantation: An exploratory study. *Transpl Int*. 2005;18(8):954–9.

76. Evon DM, Burker EJ, Galanko JA, Dedert E, Egan TM. Depressive symptoms and mortality in lung transplant. *Clin Transplant*. 2010;24(5):201-6.

77. Bartels MN, Armstrong HF, Gerardo RE, Layton AM, Emmert-Aronson BO, Sonett JR, et al. Evaluation of pulmonary function and exercise performance by cardiopulmonary exercise testing before and after lung transplantation. *Chest*. 2011;140(6):1604–11. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21680643> \n <http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/data/Journals/CHEST/23325/102721.pdf>.

78. Lehmann S, Uhlemann M, Leontyev S, Seeburger J, Garbade J, Merk DR, et al. Bilateral versus single lung transplant for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Exp Clin Transplant*. 2014;12(5):443–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25299371>.

79. Adler FR, Aurora P, Barker DH, Barr ML, Blackwell LS, Bosma OH, et al. Lung transplantation for cystic fibrosis. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2009;6(8):619–33. Adler FR, Aurora P, Bark DH, Barr ML, Blackwell LS, Bosma OH, al Lung Transplant Cyst fibrosis *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2009;6(8):619–33. *Am Thorac Soc*.

2009;6(8):619–33.

80. Lino CA. Bronquiolite obliterante : perfil clínico e radiológico de crianças acompanhadas em ambulatório de referência. 2013;31(1):10–6.

81. Rama JA, Fan LL, Faro A, Elidemir O, Morales DL, Heinle JS, et al. Lung transplantation for childhood diffuse lung disease. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2013;48(5):490–6.

82. Huddleston CB. Lung transplantation for pulmonary hypertension in children. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2010;11(2 Suppl):S53–6.

83. Carvalho WB de. Bronquiolite aguda, uma revisão atualizada. Vol. 54, *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira*. 2008. p. 10–10.

84. Chan A, Allen R. Bronchiolitis obliterans: an update. *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2004; 10(2):133–41. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15021183>.

85. Jobe AH, Tibboel D. Update in pediatric lung disease 2013. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2014;189(9):1031–6.

86. Kurland G, Michelson P. Bronchiolitis obliterans in children. Vol. 39, *Pediatric Pulmonology*. 2005. p. 193–208.

87. American Lung Association. Cystic Fibrosis (CF). State Lung Dis Divers Communities. 2010; (Figure 2): 41–4. Available from: <http://www.lungusa.org>

88. Braun AT, Merlo C a. Cystic fibrosis lung transplantation. *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2011;1:1.

89. Davies JC, Ebdon A-M, Orchard C. Recent advances in the management of cystic fibrosis. *Arch Dis Child [Internet]*. 2014;(Mcc):1–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24996790>.

90. Knowles MR, Stutts MJ, Yankaskas JR, Gatzky JT, Boucher RC. Abnormal respiratory epithelial ion transport in cystic fibrosis. *Clin Chest Med*. 1986;7(2):285–97. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3522073>.

91. O'Sullivan BP, Freedman SD. Cystic fibrosis. *Lancet*. 2009; 373(9678):1891–904.
92. Nixon GM, Armstrong DS, Carzino R, Carlin JB, Olinsky A, Robertson CF, et al. Early airway infection, inflammation, and lung function in cystic fibrosis. *Arch Dis Child*. 2002;87(4):306–11. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12244003> \n<http://pubmedcentralcanada.ca/picrender.cgi?accid=PMC1763045&blobtype=pdf>.
93. Buzzetti R, Salvatore D, Baldo E, Forneris MP, Lucidi V, Manunza D, et al. An overview of international literature from cystic fibrosis registries: 1. Mortality and survival studies in cystic fibrosis. Vol. 8, *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis*. 2009. p. 229–37.
94. Tulloh RMR. Congenital heart disease in relation to pulmonary hypertension in pediatric practice. Vol. 6, *Pediatric Respiratory Reviews*. 2005. p. 174–80.
95. D'Alto M, Mahadevan VS. Pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with congenital heart disease. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2012;21(126):328–37.
96. Leibovitch L, Matok I, Paret G. Therapeutic applications of sildenafil citrate in the management of paediatric pulmonary hypertension. Vol. 67, *Drugs*. 2007. p. 57–73.
97. Lang IM, Bonderman D, Kneussl M, Marx M. Paediatric pulmonary vascular disease. Vol. 5, *Paediatric Respiratory Reviews*. 2004. p. 238–48.
98. Camargo JJ. Lung transplant in children. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2002;78 Suppl 2:S113–22.
99. Paulo DS, Joel J, Junqueira M, Waisberg DR, Noleto S, Samano MN, et al. available for transplantation in São Paulo State. 2008;87(1):44–57.
100. De Perrot M, Keshavjee S. Lung preservation. Vol. 16, *Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 2004. p. 300–8.
101. Bowdish ME, Barr ML, Starnes VA. Living lobar transplantation. Vol. 13, *Chest Surgery Clinics of North America*. 2003. p. 505–24.

102. Date H. Update on living-donor lobar lung transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant.* 2011;16(5):453–7. Available from: <http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=21836512&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks>.
103. Mallory GB, Spray TL. Paediatric lung transplantation. *Eur Respir J.* 2004;24(5):839–45.
104. Kozower BD, Sweet SC, de la Morena M, Schuler P, Guthrie TJ, Patterson GA, et al. Living donor lobar grafts improve pediatric lung retransplantation survival. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2006;131(5):1142–7.
105. Andrade SS da C, Silva FMC da, Oliveira SH dos S, Leite KNS, Costa TF da, Zaccara AAL. Artigo Original. *Rev Enferm(Lisboa).* 2014;38(4):511-7.
106. Meyers BF, De La Morena M, Sweet SC, Trulock EP, Guthrie TJ, Mendeloff EN, et al. Primary graft dysfunction and other selected complications of lung transplantation: A single-center experience of 983 patients. Vol. 129, *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery.* 2005.
107. Altun GT, Arslanta MK, Cinel S. Primary graft dysfunction after lung transplantation. *Turk Anesteziyoloji ve Reanimasyon Dern Derg.* 2015;43(6):418–23.
108. Martinu T, Howell DN, Palmer SM. Acute cellular rejection and humoral sensitization in lung transplant recipients. Vol. 31, *Seminars in Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine.* 2010. p. 179–88.
109. Fischer S, Bohn D, Rycus P, Pierre AF, de Perrot M, Waddell TK, et al. Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for Primary Graft Dysfunction After Lung Transplantation: Analysis of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO) Registry. *J Hear Lung Transplant.* 2007;26(5):472–7.
110. Oto T, Rosenfeldt F, Rowland M, Pick A, Rabinov M, Prevolos A, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation after lung transplantation: Evolving technique improves outcomes. Vol. 78, *Annals of Thoracic Surgery.* 2004. p. 1230–5.

111. Burguete SR, Maselli DJ, Fernandez JF, Levine SM. Lung transplant infection. Vol. 18, *Respirology*. 2013. p. 22–38.
112. Korom S, Boehler A, Weder W. Immunosuppressive therapy in lung transplantation: state of the art. Vol. 35, *European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery*. 2009. p. 1045–55.
113. Fuehner T, Greer M, Welte T, Gottlieb J. The lung transplant patient in the ICU. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2012;18(5):472–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22820157>.
114. Mornex J-F. Graft immunity in lung transplantation. *Rev Mal Respir*. 2003;20(2Pt1):257–66. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12844023>.
115. Whelan TPM, Hertz MI. Allograft rejection after lung transplantation. *Clin Chest Med*. 2005;26(4):599–612.
116. Verleden GM, Raghu G, Meyer KC, Glanville AR, Corris P. A new classification system for chronic lung allograft dysfunction. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2014;33(2):127–33. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24374027>.
117. Ahmad S, Shlobin OA, Nathan SD. Pulmonary complications of lung transplantation. Vol. 139, *Chest*. 2011. p. 402–11.
118. Paul S, Escareno CE, Clancy K, Jaklitsch MT, Bueno R, Lautz DB. Gastrointestinal complications after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2009;28(5):475–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19416776>.
119. Ahya VN, Kawut SM. Noninfectious pulmonary complications after lung transplantation. Vol. 26, *Clinics in Chest Medicine*. 2005. p. 613–22.
120. Florian J, Rubin A, Mattiello R, Fontoura FF Da, Camargo JDJP, Teixeira PJZ. Impact of pulmonary rehabilitation on quality of life and functional capacity in patients on waiting lists for lung transplantation. *J Bras Pneumol publicação Of da Soc Bras Pneumol e Tisiologia*. 2013;39(3):349–

56. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4075851&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

121. Mattiello R, Sarria EE, Stein R, Fischer GB, Mocelin HT, Barreto SSM, et al. Functional capacity assessment in children and adolescents with post-infectious bronchiolitis obliterans. *J. Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2008;84(4):337–43. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18688552>.

122. Wickerson L. Peripheral muscle strength, functional exercise capacity and physical activity before and after lung transplantation. ProQuest Diss Theses. 2012;104. Available from: http://search.proquest.com.ezproxy.library.yorku.ca/docview/1327515492accountid=15182\nhttp://sfx.scholarsportal.info/yorkurl_ver=Z39.882004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:dissertation&genre=dissertations+&+theses&sid=ProQ:ProQuest+Dissertations+&+Th.

123. Dorffner R, Eibenberger K, Youssefzadeh S, Wisser W, Zuckermann A, Grabenwöger F, et al. Diaphragmatic dysfunction after heart or lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 1997;16(5):566–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9171277>.

124. Smeritschnig B, Jaksch P, Kocher A, Seebacher G, Aigner C, Mazhar S, et al. Quality of life after lung transplantation: a cross-sectional study. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2005;24(4):474–80.

125. Singer J, Singer L. Quality of Life in Lung Transplantation. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013; 34(03):421–30. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4238962&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

126. Date H, Aoe M, Nagahiro I, Sano Y, Matsubara H, Goto K, et al. How to predict forced vital capacity after living-donor lobar-lung transplantation. *J Hear Lung Transplant*. 2004;23(5):547–51.

127. Afonso Júnior JE, De Campos Werebe E, Carraro RM, Henrique De Oliveira R, Teixeira B, Fernandes LM, et al. Transplante pulmonar Lung transplantation. *Einstein*. 2015;13(2):297–304.

4 ARTIGO

FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY AND LUNG FUNCTION OF PATIENTS SUBMITTED TO LIVING DONOR LOBAR LUNG TRANSPLANTATION

ANDRADE, Fernanda de¹; SONZA, Anelise², FLORIAN, Juliessa³; CAMARGO,
Spencer Marcantonio⁴; CAMARGO, José de Jesus Peixoto⁴; DAL LAGO,
Pedro^{1,5}.

Article for submission to: Transplantation

Current title: Functional profile of lung transplantation patients from living donors

¹ Graduate Program in Health Sciences, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

² Physical Therapy Department, Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina (UDESC), Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

³ Physical Therapy Rehabilitation, Santa Casa de Misericórdia, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

⁴ Thoracic surgery lung transplant team of Santa Casa de Misericórdia Hospital, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

⁵ Physical Therapy Department, UFCSPA, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

Corresponding author:

Pedro Dal Lago, Physical Therapy Department, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre, Rua Sarmiento Leite, 245, 90050-170, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

Email: pdallago@ufcspa.edu.br

Authors' assignments

Pedro Dal Lago—Research advisor. He was in charge of checking research data, writing the manuscript, and obtaining research clearance and support in all of the decision-making processes and statistics.

Anelise Souza—Project co-advisor. She was responsible for proofreading and revising the manuscript for grammatical errors, following up of data, and providing support in the adjustment of the data collection instruments and statistical analysis.

José de Jesus Peixoto Camargo - Participant in the research as a medical doctor. He was in charge of the lung transplantation team of the Porto Alegre Santa Casa de Misericórdia Hospital, donating his time and contributing with his knowledge and data of lung transplantations.

Spencer Marcantonio Camargo—Participant in the research as a thoracic surgeon medical doctor of the lung transplantation team. He provided support during data collection, searched for patient records, identified past transplantations, analyzed lung transplantation from living donors, and determined the availability of the donors' data for transplantation from living donors.

Juliessa Florian—Participant in the research. She provided support in the contact with patients to schedule evaluations, collected data from medical records, analyzed available data released from the Lung Rehabilitation section of Santa Casa de Misericórdia, provided support for clearance of certain doubts during data collection, and dedicated her time in teaching lung rehabilitation to patients with lung transplantation.

Fernanda de Andrade—M.Sc. student of the rehabilitation sciences program. She executed and designed the study, performed data survey, collected data, searched medical records, drafted the paper by applying data collection instruments, and included a detailed discussion on lung transplantation from living donors.

All authors state no conflicts of interests in the present case.

Funding: There was no funding to execute the project.

A M.Sc. Scholarship was granted by CAPES.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LDLLT–Living Donor Lobar Lung Transplantation

ELA - Everyday Life Activity

QL–Quality of Life

GEE–Generalized Estimating Equations

CI–Confidence interval

ISHLT–International Society Heart Lung Transplantation

ABTO–Brazilian Association of Organ Transplantation

ELAs - Everyday Life Activities

FCF–Free Consent Form

CMV–Cytomegalovirus

SMWT–Six-Minute Walk Test

mMRC–Medical Research Council

Modified Borg Scale - Modified Dyspnea Scale Under Exercise

SF-36–Quality of Life Questionnaire Short Form 36

IPAQ–International Questionnaire on Physical Activity

London–Everyday Life Activity Questionnaire

ATS–ERS–American Thoracic Society–European Respiratory Society

FVC–Forced Vital Capacity

TI–Tiffenau Index

FEV₁–Forced Expiratory Volume at the first second

BMI–Body Mass Index

Pimax–Maximum Inspiratory Pressure

Pemax–Maximum Expiratory Pressure

CF–Cystic Fibrosis

BOS–Bronchiolitis Obliterans Syndrome

ICU - Intensive Care Unit

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Lung transplantation is an alternative for the increased life expectancy of patients with advanced chronic pneumopathies. Among its modalities, the living donor lobar lung transplantation method (LDLLT) is indicated for pediatric patients. This study assessed the lung function profile and functional capacity of the patients submitted to LDLLT, from 1999 to 2015, performed at the Santa Casa de Misericórdia of Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

Method: This work is a cross-sectional, clinical, and retrospective observational study, in which living donor transplantation patients under medical follow up were assessed for lung function, functional capacity, respiratory muscle strength, level of dyspnea, everyday life activities (ELAs), and physical activity beyond quality of life (QL). Descriptive statistics was performed, and the GEE test ($p < 0.05$) was used at a CI of 95% for comparison of pre- and post-transplantation.

Results: Included in the study were 30 patients, of whom 17 were male and 13 were female. Thirteen living individuals were evaluated in post-transplantation and showed improvement in the forced vital capacity, six-min walking test, dyspnea sensation, and O₂ saturation in the comparison of pre- and post-transplantation ($p < 0.01$). The other variables (QL, ELA, and physical activities) were similar to those predicted in the literature when compared with the post-procedure cadaveric lung transplanted patients.

Conclusion: Improvements in functional capacity and lung function, as well as improved quality of life, could be observed after LDLLT.

INTRODUCTION

Lung transplantation has been a therapeutic alternative to patients with end-stage lung disease, and it aims to provide improved quality of life and the prognostics of these patients (1).

The International Society Heart Lung Transplantation reported a rise in transplantations of pediatric receptors worldwide, with high incidence from ages 11 to 17. Around 550 pediatric transplantations were performed in 2013 alone, and the predominant pathologies were cystic fibrosis followed by lung hypertension and bronchiolitis obliterans (2).

In Brazil, based on the latest Brazilian Transplantation Record of the Brazilian Association of Organ Transplantation until 2015 in the Brazilian territory, a 12.4% increase in the number of lung transplantations performed relative to that in 2014 (3) was recorded. Lung transplantation is performed chiefly in four Brazilian states (São Paulo, Ceará, Minas Gerais, and Rio Grande do Sul), where Rio Grande do Sul is the only state able to perform the living donor transplantation method. Among the 74 lung transplantations performed in 2015 in Rio Grande do Sul, four were from living donors (3).

The implementation of lung transplantation requires an in-depth evaluation (2, 4, 5); from then on, the patient is directed to the transplantation list (6). At first, all patients are registered in the waiting list with indication of unilateral or bilateral lung substitution (5,7). In case of advanced disease, patients with a waiting period higher than two years in the cadaveric donor list and/or existence of blood incompatibilities or positive immune panel are advised by the medical team to undergo living donor lobar lung transplantation (LDLLT) (8).

Based on the ascertainment of possible family donors, of first and second

degree, the ABO system compatibility blood test is chosen. Subsequently, tomography, immune compatibility to assess positive antibodies present besides spirometry, volumetry of the lung lobes, history of respiratory or systemic diseases, and blood pressure level at the lung artery of possible donors (5, 9-11) are determined. Once the compatibilities are checked, the living donor transplantation method shows reduced risk of graft rejection and can be performed with healthy family donors (12).

LDLLT is recommended because of the scarcity of the number of pediatric donors (13, 14). It is a surgical lobar method performed on children in the United States since 1963 (15-17), chiefly for diseases such cystic fibrosis (18, 19) and bronchiolitis obliterans (20, 21). These individuals exhibit high morbidity and mortality levels before adolescence (22). LDLLT is composed of six simultaneous surgical procedures; given the surgical risk, the immediate and long-term complications are more frequent on the receptor than on the healthy donors (7, 23-24). The duration of hospital stay and the complications are similar to the procedure used with cadaveric receptors (25-26). The main complications include graft primary malfunction, graft rejection (hyperacute and acute), sepsis, reperfusion injuries, infections, and respiratory muscle weakness (7, 25).

For living donors to reset the functionality and physical conditioning required for everyday life activities (ELAs), lung rehabilitation is relevant (27-29) because patients present oxygen dependency and shortness of breath following small efforts and functionality reduced to a minimum (30). Thus, lung rehabilitation increases peripheral muscle strength and provides reeducation of

habits and everyday activities, as well as education to prevent further complications resulting from a sedentary lifestyle (31-34).

Monitoring of late complications at the level of physical activity of the lung functions, dyspnea, and quality of life after hospital discharge is required and should be performed continuously. After discharge, patients should perform three months of rehabilitation (13, 21, 35). No studies have assessed the functional capacity and lung function of these patients after living donor transplantation and after the lung rehabilitation period. Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the profile of lung function and the functional capacity of lung transplantation from living donor patients.

Methods

This work is a clinical and retrospective observational cohort study, in which the patients were submitted to pre- and post-assessment for at least 6 months from the LDLLT occurrence. To this end, data from medical records and assessments performed by the lung transplantation team were also collected related to the pre-transplantation period.

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Research of the Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, under the legal opinion no. 1,283,677. It was performed from November 2015 to May 2016. The survey was performed on living donor lung transplantation patients who underwent the procedure from 1999 through 2015. All the responsible people or patients over 18 signed the free consent form.

Basic procedures were carried out at the hospital complex of Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, Santa Casa de Misericórdia. Data from the medical records were collected at Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, Santa Casa de Misericórdia Lung

Transplantation Archive. The following information was collected from the medical records: blood type, age at which the transplantation was performed, level of parenthood of the donors, medical diagnostics, weight and pre-transplantation body mass index (BMI), presence of cytomegalovirus (CMV), complications, period of hospitalization after immediate transplantation, and execution of pre- and posttransplantation rehabilitation program.

At the time of the collection, all patients were in fair cognitive state, which was required for applying tests. Patients scheduled for a medical consultation with the lung transplantation team were submitted to lung function tests (spirometry), functional capacity through the six-minute walk test (SMWT), dyspnea index (mMRC), modified dyspnea scale under exercise (modified Borg scale), quality of life questionnaire (SF-36), inspiratory and expiratory muscle strength (manovacuometry), physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ), and everyday life activities (London).

According to the criteria and directives of the ATS-ERS, spirometry is the standard procedure preceding a patient's medical consultation (36-37). The forced vital capacity (FVC), Tiffenau Index (TI), and forced expiratory volume at the first second (FEV_1) were also measured.

SMWT was initially implemented on the patients from 2008 by the Lung Rehabilitation Department, and it is still performed today. SMWT is performed in a 30 m-long corridor. Oxygen saturation, blood pressure, dyspnea degree, cardiac and respiratory frequencies, and distance traveled are measured before and after the test (38). The percentage of the predicted value and the predicted distance to be traveled were calculated using the formula of Donadio et al. (39). Mass and height were measured based on the BMI.

On the same day of the post-transplantation medical consultation, the quality of life Short Form-36 (SF-36) questionnaire was administered. This questionnaire aimed to assess the scores for functional capacity, physical aspects, pain, general state of health, vitality, social aspects, and mental health (40).

To assess the dyspnea degree and level of physical activity, the Medical Research Council (mMRC) (41) and the short version of the International Questionnaire on Physical Activity (IPAQ) (42-43) questionnaires were applied on the physical activities performed during the last week preceding the questionnaire application. To determine the presence of dyspnea during the basic activities of everyday life, the patients answered the London questionnaire.

Respiratory muscle strength was further determined for all patients who returned to routine consultations via the manovacuometry test with a previously gauged digital manovacuometer (MVD 300, Globalmed, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil) under a variation of -300 cm H₂O to $+300$ cm H₂O (44). Maximum inspiratory pressure (P_{imax}) and maximum expiratory pressure measurements were ascertained (45). Three to 9 tests were performed, and the maximum value obtained was validated based on a lower than 10% variation between the two higher measurements (44). The predicted value formulae by Lanza et al. (2015) were used for patients aged less than 18, whereas the formulae by Kunikoshita et al. (46-47) were used for patients older than 18.

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative variables were stored in electronic worksheets and analyzed using descriptive statistics through percentages, averages, and standard

deviations or medians and interquartile ranges, both together with the variation amplitude.

Categorical variables were described by absolute and relative frequencies. For the simultaneous comparison between intra- and inter-groups, the model of Generalized Estimations Equations (GEE) was employed.

The normal distribution model was applied for the symmetric variables, whereas the Gamma distribution model was used for the asymmetric ones. Associations among changes in the outcomes after intervention were evaluated by the Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients. The adopted level of significance was 5% ($p < 0.05$), and the analyses were performed using SPSS program version 21.0 (IBM Analytics, EUA).

Results

Among the 36 LDLLTs performed at the Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre Hospital from 1999 to 2015, 31 patients were aged below 18 at the time of the transplantation. Among these 31 patients, one patient was excluded because of an encephalopathy after procedure, so 30 patients were analyzed. Among the 30 patients included, 13 were alive and under monitoring by the medical team at the period of the study. Of these 30 patients, the age at which the transplantation was performed was 12.3 ± 3.3 [7–18] years, with 56.7% being males and 43.3% females. Among these 30 patients, 17 died (56.7%) and 13 were kept alive (43.3%). At the moment the transplantation was performed, patients exhibited an average body mass of 30.7 ± 8.4 kg and average BMI of 15.9 ± 1.8 [12.7–19.5] $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. The characterization of the sample is shown in Table 1.

The prevailing medical diagnosis for the living donor transplantation patients was that of cystic fibrosis with 48.1% of the cases (13 patients) followed by bronchiolitis obliterans with 44.4% of the cases (12 patients). Other diagnostics such as lung fibrosis and bronchiectasis were found in 7.4% of the cases, and the medical diagnostics was not present in the medical records for 1% of the patients.

Blood types were identified (ABO system) in the group of living donor transplantation patients. The A+ and O+ types were predominant, as shown in Table 1. The presence of CMV was found in 36.7% of the pre-transplantation receptors, which evidenced the need for immunizations and procedures to prevent pre-transplantation CMV.

Among the donors, 46.7% were both first-degree donors, followed by 20% of one first-degree donor and one second-degree donor, and the remaining, split in lower amounts of second-, third-, and fourth-degree donors.

Complications found in patients during and after the surgical procedure were graft primary malfunction (33.3%), acute rejection after transplantation (26.7%), and chronic rejection with indication of re-transplantation (6.7%).

Among the 30 patients, 40% executed the lung rehabilitation program of the Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre Hospital and were in hospital after the transplantation and up to the hospital discharge for an average of 24.6 (± 18.3) days in an interval of 1–71 days (Table 1). Nevertheless, 36.6% of the patients died during the procedure or before hospital discharge.

For these patients, pre- and post-transplantation lung function and functional capacity were evaluated (Table 2). Significant improvement in lung

function and functional capacity was found by comparing the findings of pre- and post-transplantation for all the items evaluated ($p < 0.01$).

The nutrition state improved, but the ratio of pre- and post-transplantation from living donors was not significantly different, as shown in Table 2.

The quality of life questionnaire SF-36, which represents the patients' quality of life after transplantation, was collected for only 11 patients because one of them refused to execute the tests after transplantation and participate in the study. High averages were obtained from the functional capacity with 92.3 ± 12.1 [60–100] and social aspects 90.9 ± 12.6 [63–100]. Data are presented in Table 3.

In the IPAQ questionnaire of physical activity, 58.3% of the patients were active, whereas the remaining belonged to the categories of irregularly active (33%) or sedentary (8.3%). For the London questionnaire, an interval of 9–18 marks was found, thereby suggesting certain independence in the domestic activities shown by the patients because the questionnaire allows marks from 0 to 75. A low score revealed high independence for everyday activities, as shown in Table 4.

From the application of the dyspnea level (mMRC) questionnaire applied to the late post-LDLLT, the median was 0. This result means that the patients exhibited symptoms of shortness of breath only during intense exercises, as demonstrated in Table 4.

Results for manovacuometry performed in the late post-transplantation, that is, obtained 6 months after lung transplantation, showed Pimax and Pemax values of 69.8 ± 27.5 and 66.0 ± 34.6 cmH₂O, respectively. Such figures are

indicative of weakness in inspiratory and expiratory muscles in the late period after transplantation.

Discussion

The results of the present study show that the procedure was performed mostly in pediatric patients, predominantly males, with BMI lower than that recommended for their age. The procedure was executed most frequently on cystic fibrosis patients, which corroborated the findings reported by Adler et al. (48).

The results of the present study agree with the findings of Donadio et al. (49), who reported that patients with cystic fibrosis entering the lung transplantation list are similar in age, sex, and medical diagnosis. In these patients, several gastric, pancreatic, or respiratory manifestations are also present, thereby making their stay in the waiting list difficult. Hofer et al. (50) stressed that pediatric patients of lung transplantation lists show high recurrence of hospital stay caused by infections and are oxygen dependent for everyday life activities.

Considering that patients have pre-operation weakness and urgency during the procedure, the main intercurrents present in the study are acute rejection, graft primary malfunctions, and the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS). Such intercurrents generally develop toward a clinical state of possible re-transplantation. Hayes et al. (51) demonstrated that high BOS levels are present after execution of the procedure, and these levels resulted from sequential chronic graft rejections after the first year of transplantation. Regarding the rejections, Snell et al. (52) found that these events frequently

occurred in patients who underwent living donor transplantation due to the placement of two inflated lobes in the thoracic cage, as well as given the fact that a child's immune system is more active than that of an adult.

The reduced period of hospital stay described in this paper (24.6 days) is probably associated with the use of new technologies such as extracorporeal circulation membrane, assisted mechanical ventilation, early mobilization, and immediate post-surgery immunotherapy; these new techniques reduce the hospital stay period of transplanted patients (53).

In terms of the lung function of the living donor lung transplantation patients, the values obtained for both FVC and forced expiratory volume at the first minute (FEV_1) improved compared with those for the same individuals in the pre-transplantation and post-transplantation periods. However, FEV_1 was lower than the averages predicted by ATS-ERS, and it was also lower than the values reported by Chaves et al. (54), who evaluated pediatric patients with mild cystic fibrosis. According to Costa et al. (55), FEV_1 values lower than normal predict seriousness of illness relative to the lung function and allow the follow up of the post-transplantation disease evolution and current patient condition.

For lung function, the airway obstruction pattern was assessed, with values found for the TI at the pre-transplantation stage as having an obstructive trend resulting from the predominance of patients with cystic fibrosis diagnosis in the sample studied. Although this study did not appoint any statistical significance for this variable, TI values corresponding to post-transplantation are in the average of values for healthy individuals as described by Vilozni et al. (56).

All the factors related to lung function also directly influence the functional capacity of the individuals who underwent transplantation, as stated by Chen et al. (57). In accordance with this study, the values for SMWT were predictive of pre-transplantation mortality and evidenced the patient evolution after the procedure; this result agreed with the findings by Chaikriangkrai et al. (58), who observed that patients of low BMI and SMWT lower than 71.01 m have higher mortality immediately after transplantation.

SMWT as the sub-maximum test of functional capacity represents the sum of different factors, namely, lung function, cardiac function, muscle performance, nutritional state, and peripheral circulation (39). For the values of functional capacity presented in this study, patients increased the values of distance traveled when immediate pre- and post-transplantation and late post-transplantation were compared. Similarly, Yimlamai et al. (59) determined that the best test values are indicative of low Intensive Care Unit periods and under mechanical ventilation after procedure. From the perspective of the traveled distance to serve as mortality predictor, as studied by Kadigar et al. (60), these authors pointed out that the value is close to 400 m. In this way, the average of the distance of the walk by the participants in this study in the pre-transplantation period represents an important mortality predictor factor.

Theoretically, Barr et al. (61) stated that both long-term lung function and functional capacity of patients submitted to living donor transplantation have values similar to those of patients who received lung transplantation from bilateral corpses, thereby indicating that the patients are not harmed if submitted to the lobar transplantation in terms of lung function and functional

capacity, which directly favors the evident improvement in the quality of life in transplanted patients (62).

In terms of quality of life, the results of this study point to values above 50%, stating that the quality of life of patients after transplantation was satisfactory, but the lower scores belonged to pain and general state of health (with averages of 65.8 and 69.3, respectively) with the higher score being related to social aspects. Therefore, living donor receptors could return to a normal life, such as going to school, play, walk, run, and being with friends without depending on oxygen, which corroborated with the studies by Yusen et al. and Smeritschnig et al. (31,62).

In accordance with the results obtained, LDLLT patients of this study should remain active after transplantation to maintain a physical condition not previously possible nor known, as also demonstrated by Downs et al. (27). The study presented in this paper is unique for the use of IPAQ, London, and mMRC questionnaires to assess physical activity, everyday life, and dyspnea of living donor lung transplantation patients. For healthy children and adolescents, values found by Luciano et al. (63) are active and very active, which confirm the findings of the present study and the transplantation success.

In addition to the evaluation of the physical activity level executed, a further relevant factor in the recovery of the lung transplantation patient is respiratory muscle strength. Respiratory muscle strength was evaluated by measuring maximum respiratory pressures (64). In the present study, the values for Pimax and Pemax were below the predicted values for healthy youngsters and children as described by Lanza et al. and Oliveira et al. (46, 65),

thereby demonstrating that the patients under study require respiratory muscle strengthening after the LDLLT procedure.

In conclusion, patients who undergo LDLLT are considered fragile, overprotected, under critical condition at the pre-surgical procedure, and submitted to reduce but not negligible surgical risk. At the post-surgical step, LDLLT general improvement in lung function, functional capacity, and quality of life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Coordenação de aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre Hospital–Pereira Filho Pavilion.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

1. D'Império F. Critérios de indicação e de seleção dos candidatos para transplante de pulmão. Indications and selection criteria of lung transplant candidate. *Pulmão RJ*. 2006;15(3):175–83.
2. Dipchand AI, Edwards LB, Kucheryavaya AY, Benden C, Dobbels F, Levvey BJ, et al. The registry of the international society for heart and lung transplantation: Seventeenth official pediatric heart transplantation report - 2014; Focus theme: Retransplantation. *J Hear Lung Transplant*. 2014;33(10):985–95.
3. ABTO. Dimensionamento dos Transplantes no Brasil e em cada estado (2005-2015). *Regist Bras Transplantes - Veículo Of da Assoc Bras*

- Transpl Órgãos [Internet]. 2015; 21(1): 88. Available from: <http://www.abto.org.br/abtov03/Upload/file/RBT/2015/rbt201508052015-lib.pdf>
4. Cardoso PFG. As novas perspectivas do transplante de pulmão: da preservação convencional à perfusão pulmonar ex vivo com condicionamento pulmonar. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2009;35(11):1057–9.
 5. Orens JB, Estenne M, Arcasoy S, Conte J V., Corris P, Egan JJ, et al. International Guidelines for the Selection of Lung Transplant Candidates: 2006 Update-A Consensus Report From the Pulmonary Scientific Council of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *J Hear Lung Transplant*. 2006;25(7):745–55.
 6. D’Império F. Morte encefálica, cuidados ao doador de órgãos e transplante de pulmão. Vol. 19, *Revista Brasileira de Terapia Intensiva*. 2007. p. 74–84.
 7. Camargo JJ, Felicetti JC, Camargo SM. *Transplante de Pulmão - Aspectos Técnicos*. 1986;
 8. Date H, Aoe M, Sano Y, Goto K, Kawada M, Shimizu N. Bilateral living-donor lobar lung transplantation. *Multimed Man Cardio-Thoracic Surg* [Internet]. 2005; 2005(0809):2–5. Available from: <http://mmcts.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1510/mmcts.2004.000976>
 9. Spruit M a., Singh SJ, Garvey C, Zu Wallack R, Nici L, Rochester C, et al. An official American thoracic society/European respiratory society statement: Key concepts and advances in pulmonary rehabilitation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;188(8).
 10. Woo MS, MacLaughlin EF, Horn M V, Wong PC, Rowland JM, Barr ML, et al. Living donor lobar lung transplantation: the pediatric experience. *Pediatr Transplant*. 1998;2(3):185–90.
 11. Wells WJ, Barr ML. The ethics of living donor lung transplantation. *Thorac Surg Clin*. 2005;15(4):519–25.

12. Starnes VA, Barr ML, Schenkel FA, Horn M V, Cohen RG, Hagen JA, et al. Experience with living-donor lobar transplantation for indications other. 1997;
13. Slama A, Ghanim B, Klikovits T, Scheed A, Hoda MA, Hoetzenecker K, et al. Lobar lung transplantation-Is it comparable with standard lung transplantation? *Transplant International*. 2014;
14. Starnes VA, Woo MS, MacLaughlin EF, Horn M V, Wong PC, Rowland JM, et al. Comparison of outcomes between living donor and cadaveric lung transplantation in children. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1999;68(6):2279–83; discussion 2283–4.
15. Starnes VA, Lewiston NJ, Luikart H, Theodore J, Stinson EB, Shumway NE. Current trends in lung transplantation. Lobar transplantation and expanded use of single lungs. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1992;104(4):1060–5; discussion 1065–6.
16. Starnes VA, Bowdish ME, Woo MS, Barbers RG, Schenkel FA, Horn M V., et al. A decade of living lobar lung transplantation: Recipient outcomes. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2004;127(1):114–22.
17. Bowdish ME, Pessotto R, Barbers RG, Schenkel F a., Starnes V a., Barr ML. Long-term pulmonary function after living-donor lobar lung transplantation in adults. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2005;79(2):418–25.
18. Goss CH, Flume P a, O’Sullivan BP, Robinson K a, Mogayzel Jr PJ, Willey-Courand DB, et al. Cystic Fibrosis Pulmonary Guidelines. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med [Internet]*. 2007; 176: 957–69. Available from: <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Cystic+Fibrosis+Pulmonary+Guidelines#0>
19. Liou TG, Adler FR, Cahill BC, FitzSimmons SC, Huang D, Hibbs JR, et al. Survival Effect of Lung Transplantation Among Patients with Cystic Fibrosis. *Jama*. 2001;286(21):2683–9.
20. Zhang L, Silva FA e. Bronquiolite obliterante em crianças. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2000; 76:185–92.

21. Chen F, Miyagawa-Hayashino A, Yurugi K, Chibana N, Yamada T, Sato M, et al. Redo living-donor lobar lung transplantation for bronchiolitis obliterans associated with antibody-mediated rejection. *Transpl Int*. 2014;27(2):8–12.
22. Starnes VA, Barr ML, Cohen RG, Hagen JA, Wells WJ, Horn M V, et al. Living-donor lobar lung transplantation experience: intermediate results. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1996;112(5):1284–90; discussion 1290–1.
23. Date H. Update on living-donor lobar lung transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant*. 2011;16(5):453–7.
24. Cohen RG, Starnes VA. Living donor lung transplantation. *World J Surg* 2001;25(2):244–50. Available from: [http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11338028](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11338028)
25. Janete FB, Samano MN. *Complicações Cirúrgicas no Transplante Pulmonar*. 2004;
26. Lyu DM, Zamora MR. Medical complications of lung transplantation. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2009;6(16):101–7.
27. Downs a M. Physical therapy in lung transplantation. *Phys Ther*. 1996;76(6):626–42.
28. Langer D. Rehabilitation in Patients before and after Lung Transplantation. *Respiration [Internet]*. 2015; 89(5):353–62. Available from: [http:// www.karger.com/?doi=10.1159/000430451](http://www.karger.com/?doi=10.1159/000430451)
29. Langer D, Burtin C, Schepers L, Ivanova a., Verleden G, Decramer M, et al. Exercise training after lung transplantation improves participation in daily activity: A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Transplant*. 2012;12(6):1584–92.
30. Burton JH, Marshall JM, Munro P, Moule W, Snell GI, Westall GP. Rehabilitation and transition after lung transplantation in children. *Transplant Proc*. 2009;41(1):296–9.
31. Yusen RD. Survival and Quality of Life of Patients Undergoing Lung

- Transplant. Vol. 32, Clinics in Chest Medicine. 2011. p. 253–64.
32. Florian J, Rubin A, Mattiello R, Fontoura FF Da, Camargo JDJP, Teixeira PJZ. Impact of pulmonary rehabilitation on quality of life and functional capacity in patients on waiting lists for lung transplantation. *J Bras Pneumol publicação of da Soc Bras Pneumol e Tisiologia* [Internet]. 2013;39(3):349–56. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4075851&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
 33. Bueno G, De KSP, Bueno G. Faculdades Adamantinenses Integradas (FAI) DORNELAS, Lilian Maria Candido de Souza; BORGES, Juliana atuação fisioterapêutica no pré e pós-operatório de transplante pulmonar physical therapy in pre-and postoperative lung transplant. 2012;1–5.
 34. Munro PE, Holland a. E, Bailey M, Button BM, Snell GI. Pulmonary Rehabilitation Following Lung Transplantation. *Transplant Proc* [Internet]. Elsevier Inc.; 2009;41(1):292–5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2008.10.043>
 35. Armstrong HF, Garber CE, Bartels MN. Exercise testing parameters associated with post lung transplant mortality. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol*. 2012;181(2):118–22.
 36. Espinosa D, Algar FJ, Moreno P, Illana J, Lvarez A, Cerezo F, et al. Experience of the Reina Sofia hospital in lobar lung transplantation. *Transplant Proc*. 2010;42(8):3214–6.
 37. Crapo RO, Casaburi R, Coates AL, Enright PL, MacIntyre NR, McKay RT, et al. ATS statement: Guidelines for the six-minute walk test. Vol. 166, *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2002. p. 111–7.
 38. Li AM, Yin J, Au JT, So HK, Tsang T, Wong E, et al. Standard reference for the six-minute-walk test in healthy children aged 7 to 16 years. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2007;176(2):174–80.
 39. Priesnitz CV, Rodrigues GH, Da Silva Stumpf C, Viapiana G, Cabral CP, Stein RT, et al. Reference values for the 6-min walk test in healthy

- children aged 6-12 years. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2009;44(12):1174–9.
40. Ware JE. SF-36 health survey update. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2000; 25:3130–9.
 41. Ferreira GP, Silva D, Aguiar MT, Morano P. Validação do Teste de Avaliação da DPOC em português para uso no Brasil* Portuguese-language version of the COPD Assessment Test: validation for use in Brazil. *J Bras Pneumol.* 2013;39(4):402–8.
 42. Matsudo S, Araújo T, Matsudo V, Andrade D, Andrade E, Oliveira LC, et al. Questionário internacional de atividade física (ipaq): estudo de validade e reprodutibilidade no Brasil. *Rev Bras Atividade Física Saúde.* 2001;6(2):5–18.
 43. Lee PH, Macfarlane DJ, Lam T, Stewart SM. Validity of the international physical activity questionnaire short form (IPAQ-SF): A systematic review. Vol. 8, *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2011. p. 115.
 44. Troosters T, Gosselink R, Decramer M. Respiratory muscle assessment. *European Respiratory Monograph.* 2005;57–71.
 45. Ratnovsky A, Elad D, Izbicki G, Kramer MR. Mechanics of respiratory muscles in single-lung transplant recipients. *Respiration.* 2006;73(5):642–50.
 46. Lanza FC, De Moraes Santos ML, Selman JPR, Silva JC, Marcolin N, Santos J, et al. Reference equation for respiratory pressures in pediatric population: A multicenter study. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(8):1–9.
 47. Kunikoshita L, Silva Y, Silva T, Costa D, Jamami M. Efeitos de três programas de fisioterapia respiratória (PFR) em portadores de DPOC. *Rev Bras Fisioter.* 2006;10(4):449–55.
 48. Adler FR, Aurora P, Barker DH, Barr ML, Blackwell LS, Bosma OH, et al. Lung transplantation for cystic fibrosis. *Proc Ameri1 Adler FR, Aurora P, Bark DH, Barr ML, Blackwell LS, Bosma OH, al Lung Transplant Cyst*

- fibrosis. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2009;6(8):619–33 *can Thorac Soc.* 2009;6(8):619–33.
49. Donadio M V, Souza GC, Tiecher G, Heinzmann-Filho JP, Paim TF, Hommerding PX, et al. Bone mineral density, pulmonary function, chronological age, and age at diagnosis in children and adolescents with cystic fibrosis. *J Pediatr (Rio J)* [Internet]. 2013; 89(2):151–7. Available from:<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23642425>
http://ac.elscdn.com/S0021755713000259/1-s2.0-S0021755713000259main.pdf?tid=14e2c4a6294211e5b70c0000aacb361&acdnat=1436780130_1286a7a7e8f7c6f601e36bf3c73f36e4
 50. Hofer M, Benden C, Inci I, Schmid C, Irani S, Speich R, et al. True Survival Benefit of Lung Transplantation for Cystic Fibrosis Patients: The Zurich Experience. *J Hear Lung Transplant.* 2009;28(4):334–9.
 51. Hayes D. A review of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and therapeutic strategies. *J Cardiothorac Surg* [Internet]. 2011;6(1):92. Available from: <http://www.cardiothoracicsurgery.org/content/6/1/92>
 52. Snell GI, Westall GP. Immunosuppression for lung transplantation: Evidence to date. Vol. 67, *Drugs.* 2007. p. 1531–9.
 53. Ahmad S, Shlobin OA, Nathan SD. Pulmonary complications of lung transplantation. Vol. 139, *Chest.* 2011. p. 402–11.
 54. CHAVES CRMM et al. Associação entre medidas do estado nutricional e a função pulmonar de crianças e adolescentes com fibrose cística. *J Bras Pneumol.*2009;35:409–14. Available from:http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S180637132009000500004&script=sci_arttext
 55. Costa D, Jamami M. Bases Fundamentais da Espirometria. *Assoc Bras Fisioter.* 2001;5(2):95–102.
 56. Viložni D, Efrati O, Barak A, Yahav Y, Augarten A, Bentur L. Forced inspiratory flow volume curve in healthy young children. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2009;44(2):105–11.

57. Chen F, Kubo T, Shoji T, Fujinaga T, Bando T, Date H. Comparison of pulmonary function test and computed tomography volumetry in living lung donors. *J Hear Lung Transplant*. 2011;30(5):572–5.
58. Chaikriangkrai K, Jhun H, Graviss E, Jyothula S. Overweight-mortality paradox and impact of six-minute walk distance in lung transplantation. *Ann Thorac Med* [Internet]. 2015; 10(3):169–75. Available from: <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2s2.084937785725&partnerID=40&md5=2393db16e6d1e7e56227789486a815d8>.
59. Yimlamai D, Freiburger DA, Gould A, Zhou J, Boyer D. Pretransplant six-minute walk test predicts peri- and post-operative outcomes after pediatric lung transplantation. *Pediatr Transplant*. 2013;17(1):34–40.
60. A K, J M, S K. The six-minute walk test: a guide to assessment for lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* [Internet]. 1997;16(3):313–9. Available from: <http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/9087875> \n <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9087875>.
61. Barr ML, Schenkel FA, Bowdish ME, Starnes VA. Living donor lobar lung transplantation: Current status and future directions. In: *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2005. p. 3983–6.
62. Smeritschnig B, Jaksch P, Kocher A, Seebacher G, Aigner C, Mazhar S, et al. Quality of life after lung transplantation: a cross-sectional study. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2005;24(4):474–80.
63. Luciano, Alexandre de Paiva; Bertoli, Ciro João; Adami, Fernando; Abreu LC de. Nível de Atividade Física em Adolescentes Saudáveis. *Rev Bras Med do Esporte*. 2016;22(3):191–4.
64. Potera R, Huddleston CB. Pediatric lung transplantation. In: *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine: Volume 4: Peri-Operative Care of the Critically Ill or Injured Child*. 2014. p. 401–9.
65. Oliveira, Marly Gabriel de; Solé, Dirceu; Lanza F de C. Artigo Original. 2012;38(3):308–14.

66. Camargo SM, Camargo J de JP, Schio SM, Sanchez LB, Felicetti JC, Moreira J da S, et al. Complications related to lobectomy in living lobar lung transplant donors. *J Bras Pneumol* [Internet]. 2008; 34:256–63. Available from: <Go to ISI>://MEDLINE:18545820

Table 1—Characterization of the 30 living donor transplantation patients from 1999 to 2015.

Variables	Overall Sample	Descriptive Statistics
Age at the Transplantation (years)	30	12.3 ± 3.3 [7–19]
Sex	30	
Male		17 (56.7)
Female		13 (43.3)
Medical Diagnosis	27*	
Cystic Fibrosis		13 (48.1)
Bronchiolitis Obliterans		12 (44.4)
Other		2 (7.4)
Blood Type	21*	
A+		9 (42.9)
A-		1 (4.8)
B+		2 (9.5)
O+		9 (42.9)
BMI (kg/m ²)	24*	15.9 ± 1.8 [12.7–19.5]
Height /Age score-z	24*	-1.91 ± 2.32 [-6.17–3.40]
BMI/ Age score-z	24*	-1.24 ± 1.42 [-3.31–2.32]
Donor	30	
Both first-degree donors		14 (46.7)
One first-degree and another one second-degree donor		6 (20.0)
One first-degree and another one third-degree donor		5 (16.7)
Presence of CMV	30	11 (36.7)
Intercurrences	30	
None		7 (23.3)
Acute Rejection		8 (26.7)
EGF Early Graft Failure		10 (33.3)
Other		3 (10.0)
Re Tx		2 (6.7)
Period of hospital stay (days)	28*	24.6 [1–71]
Executed rehabilitation	30	12 (40)

*Data for a few patients could not be found in view of the loss of medical records following a fire. BMI, body mass index; CMV, cytomegalovirus; EGF, Early Graft Failure; Re Tx, re transplantation.

Table 2—Comparison of living donor pre- and post-transplantation

Variables	Pre (n = 18) * Average ± SD	Post (n = 12) Average ± SD	Difference (CI 95%)	P
Lung Function				
FEV ₁ (%)	28.5 ± 2.5	50.3 ± 6.3	21.7 (8.4 a 35.0)	0.001
FVC (%)	38.4 ± 3.1	61.1 ± 5.2	22.6 (11.3 a 34.0)	<0.001
TI (%)	77.4 ± 25.6	83.6 ± 21.5	6.2 (-12.6 a 24.9)	0.009
Functional Capacity (SMWT)				
Distance Traveled (m)	357.2 ± 28.3	522.7 ± 18.3	165.5 (93.8 a 237.3)	<0.001
Distance Traveled (% predicted)	57.1 ± 4.6	78.5 ± 3.8	21.4 (11.3 a 31.5)	<0.001
Sensation of dyspnea (BORG)	3.6 ± 0.6	0.9 ± 0.3	-2.7 (-4.0 a -1.4)	<0.001
O ₂ Saturation	82.6 ± 2.1	95.9 ± 1.1	13.4 (8.1 a 18.6)	<0.001
Nutrition State				
<18 years				
H/A- z	-0.65 ± 1.02	-0.78 ± 0.57	-0.13 (-2.66 a 2.41)	0.885
BMI/A z	-0.70 ± 0.29	-1.52 ± 0.50	-0.82 (-2.52 a 0.89)	0.224
≥18 years				
BMI	15.6 ± 1.8	17.4 ± 1.2	1.82 (0.87 a 2.77)	0.003

Groups were compared with pre- and post-late LDLLT using the Bonferroni test, at CI 95%, $p \leq 0.01$.

*Old results were lost following a fire in the archives of the Lung Transplantation unit of the Santa Casa de Misericórdia Hospital. FEV₁, forced expiratory volume at the first second, FVC, forced vital capacity; TI, Tiffenau Index, BMI, body mass index, H/A- z height by age at the z score; BMI/A-z, body mass index by age at the z-score

Table 3—Data for quality of life (SF-36) after living donor lung transplantation (n =12)

Domains	Average \pm SD [min–max]
Functional Capacity	92.3 \pm 12.1 [60–100]
Limitation by Physical Aspects	88.6 \pm 30.3 [0–100]
Pain	65.8 \pm 17.4 [40–80]
General State of Health	69.3 \pm 18.1 [37–100]
Vitality	76.8 \pm 18.9 [40–100]
Social Aspects	90.9 \pm 12.6 [63–100]
Limitation by Emotional Aspects	84.9 \pm 22.9 [33–100]
Mental Health	78.3 \pm 14.9 [56–96]

Table 4—Data on the level of physical activity, everyday life activities, and level of dyspnea after living donor lung transplantation (n=12)

Variables	Descriptive Statistics *
Level of Physical Activity - IPAC	
Active	7 (58.3)
Irregularly active	4 (33.3)
Sedentary	1 (8.3)
Everyday Life Activities—London	13.1 ± 2.8 [9–18]
Level of dyspnea - mMRC	0 (0–1) [0–4]

* for physical activity level: n (%) and for everyday life activities: average ± standard deviation [minimum–maximum].

5 CONCLUSÃO GERAL

O método de transplante pulmonar do tipo bilobar intervivos é inédito no país, sendo feito somente no Rio Grande do Sul, com grande aceitação e a sua sobrevida de 5 anos em 41% dos casos é satisfatória enquanto procedimento.

Os receptores submetidos ao procedimento, bem como seus doadores são expostos ao risco cirúrgico inevitável, porém a expectativa tanto da família quanto da equipe multidisciplinar supera quando se opta por esse modelo de transplante.

Os mesmos apresentam no período de pós transplante imediato cuidados extremos, principalmente até o primeiro ano, e apresentam progressivamente uma qualidade de vida melhor, um retorno as atividades escolares e de convívio familiar, deixando muitas vezes o autocuidado um pouco aquém do esperado, por considerarem-se com razoável saúde, mesmo que a sobrevida seja limitada. As atividades físicas muitas vezes são esquecidas ou postergadas, bem como as consultas e exames médicos, sendo de responsabilidade dos pais ainda a cobrança pela saúde e a atenção aos cuidados que um transplantado necessita.

Concluiu-se então com este estudo, que os parâmetros de função pulmonar e de capacidade funcional apresentaram melhora após o procedimento de transplante pulmonar intervivos em seus receptores, sendo esse eficaz para a melhora dessas variáveis, porém, com necessidade constante de monitorização e também de acompanhamento.

ANEXO A - NORMAS DE PUBLICAÇÃO DA REVISTA

Transplantation[®]

www.transplantjournal.com

Instructions for Authors

WHY submit to *Transplantation*

The mission of *Transplantation* is to be the primary trusted publication for information, education and research in transplantation. Submissions cross the spectrum of basic, translational and clinical sciences with the Editors encouraging papers that stimulate debate and highlight both progress and research gaps for clinicians, scientists and policy makers of all disciplines. The Editors and Editorial Board of *Transplantation* are an international group of research and clinical leaders that includes many pioneers of the field, representing a diverse range of areas of expertise.

WHAT to submit to *Transplantation*

Types of Manuscripts Published

Type	Text Word Limit*	Abstract Word Limit	Reference Limit	Illustration Limit**
Overview	6,000	250	N/A	N/A
Article	4,000	250 structured	N/A	N/A
Short Report	3,000	250 structured	30	3
Commentary (invited)	1,000	N/A	10	1
Letter to the Editor	500	N/A	5	1

*includes abstract, introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion

**combined total of figures and tables

Overview: A concise review of a special interest topic in the field of transplantation. Overviews are generally solicited; however, author-initiated proposals will also be considered. Interested authors should submit an outline/abstract of the proposed paper to editorialoffice@journal.tts.org. The proposal will be reviewed with regard to interest, relevance, timeliness and suitability. If suitable, a full version the manuscript will be welcomed. Overviews should adequately encompass the spectrum of the topic and not focus on the particular views and hypotheses of the authors.

Article: A full-length report of completed basic and experimental research, or clinical and translational research.

Short Report: A brief, time-sensitive manuscript reporting item (s) of special significance in the field.

Rigorous Meeting Reports that highlight the aims, scope, and science presented at a conference may be considered for publication.

Commentary: A companion paper highlighting an article of particular note. Commentary provides context to the accompanying submission regarding its significance and potential impact to the field. All commentaries are invited.

Letters to the Editor: There are 2 types of letters.

- *Informational:* A focused submission briefly discussing a significant clinical report or other high interest topic on relevant subject matter.
- *Correspondence:* Comments on articles previously published in *Transplantation*. The authors of the original publication will be given an opportunity to provide a paired response.

Letters are published online only and supplemental Digital Content (SDC) is not considered for this type of submission.

There is no charge to submit to *Transplantation*. If a paper is accepted, authors are responsible for manuscript charges of \$80 USD per printed page. (See Accepted Manuscripts and Open Access for more information.) Invited manuscripts and Letters are exempt from these charges.

Where to submit to *Transplantation*

Submissions must be made electronically via www.editorialmanager.com/tpa

HOW to prepare a manuscript to submit to *Transplantation*

Manuscript preparation, formatting, and policy guidelines are detailed in

- **Manuscript Formatting**
- **Manuscript Processing**
- **Policies**

This information applies to all manuscripts submitted for consideration. Note: Manuscripts not conforming to the required format will be returned to authors for correction.

LANGUAGE: Manuscripts must be written in proper, clear English. Authors needing assistance may consult with outside services providing [English Language Assistance](#).

GENERAL FORMATTING: All manuscripts must be digitally prepared as follows:

- Manuscript text should be double spaced; digital text files should be supplied in Word .doc or .docx format. Image files can be uploaded in .tif, .eps, or .jpg format
- DO NOT upload any file in PDF.

Additionally, manuscripts are encouraged to follow these guidelines to facilitate ease of review:

- 1-inch page margins
- Manuscript pages may be numbered but do NOT include individual line numbers
- Arial or similar san serif typeface, 12-point font size preferred

WHO to contact if you have questions

The Editorial Office is pleased to answer any questions you may have about preparing your manuscript in accordance with our guidelines.

Email: editorialoffice@journal.tts.org

Submit a manuscript: www.editorialmanager.com/tpa

Read the Journal online: www.transplantjournal.com

Manuscript Formatting

Elements: Each submission must be structured as follows:

1. Title Page
2. Authorship Page
3. Abbreviations Page
4. Abstract (if applicable)
5. Main Body Text
6. Acknowledgments (if applicable)
7. References
8. Tables
9. Figure legend (if applicable)
10. Figures
11. Supplemental digital content (to publish) and/or supplemental content (not to publish)

Please refer to the descriptions of the individual items for more detailed information.

Items 1—7 above must be uploaded as the main body document file type. Do not upload separate files for these items.

TITLE PAGE:

INCLUDE the following elements for every submission:

1. *Title*: This should be descriptive of the work; the title should not be a sentence.
2. *Author listing*: Include the full first name, middle initial(s), and family name of each author, each author's highest degree earned, and their affiliations. This should include the academic department(s) and institution(s) to which the work should be attributed.
3. *Correspondence information*: Full name, physical mailing address and current email address for the corresponding author.
4. *Clinical Trial Notation (if applicable)*: provide the name of the trial registry and the registration number/ identifier of the trial.

DO NOT INCLUDE Word counts Keywords

Running titles

AUTHORSHIP PAGE:

1. List each author's specific contributions to the work; list any potential conflict of interest for each author, or make the declaration of no conflict of interest. Please review ICMJE Guidelines for Authorship at <http://www.icmje.org/recommendations> for further guidance. **NOTE:** in accordance with the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), any change in authorship or contribution AFTER submission MUST BE approved in writing by ALL authors and submitted to the Editorial Office for final consideration.
2. *Disclosures*: Any actual or potential conflicts of interest by any of the author(s). If all of the author(s) have no conflict to disclose, please include the phrase, "The authors declare no conflicts of interest."
3. *Funding*: Disclosure of any funding received for this work, especially details of funding from any of the following organizations: National Institutes of Health (NIH); Wellcome Trust; and Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI). For more information, please visit <http://journals.lww.com/transplantjournal/layouts/oaks.journals/nih.aspx>

ABBREVIATIONS PAGE:

1. Authors are required to introduce all utilized acronyms and initialisms likely to be unfamiliar to the reader. These should be listed alphabetically.
2. Acronyms and initialisms should take the form: **[term]**, [meaning] eg: **DNA**, deoxyribonucleic acid.
3. Terms should not be abbreviated unless they are used more than once in the document.

ABSTRACT:

All abstracts are limited to 250 words. This is included in the overall manuscript word count.

Abstracts for Articles and Short Reports must be structured and labeled as follows:

- *Background* - the problem being addressed in the study.
- *Methods* - how the study was performed.

- *Results* - the salient results.
- *Conclusions* - what the authors conclude from the results.

Abstracts for Overviews may be unstructured.

Abstracts are not required for Letters or Commentaries.

MAIN BODY TEXT:

1. *Introduction:* A statement of the purpose of the work, the problem that stimulated it, and a brief summary of any relevant previously published investigations.
2. *Materials and Methods:* Detailed description of how the results were obtained. Avoid lengthy descriptions of well-known and previously published methods, cite the appropriate reference(s) instead. In-depth methods descriptions may be provided as Supplemental Digital Content and will appear in the online version only.
3. *Results:* Raw or minimally reduced findings using the materials and methods described previously. The results should be concise, avoiding redundant tables and figures illustrating the same data.
4. *Discussion:* Interpretation, contextualization, and commentary on the results. Authors should use this section to argue the significance of their work with minimal restating of findings.

Acknowledgments (if applicable):

This is intended for the public mention of individuals or groups who provided some type of support but do not merit co-authorship recognition under ICMJE guidelines.

REFERENCES:

1. The Reference section must begin on a separate page of the manuscript.
2. References must be made using AMA style. See <http://www.amamanualofstyle.com> (note: log in or subscription is required) ENDNOTE output style may be downloaded from: <http://endnote.com/downloads/style/jama-journal-american-medical-association>
3. References in the main body are designated by superscripts.
4. References must be numbered and listed in the order in which they are cited in the text.
5. Examples for formatting reference in journal style is found here http://edmgr.ovid.com/tpa/accounts/amaguide_21B3.pdf
6. General guidelines:
 - No more than 6 authors should be listed for a citation. If there are 7 or more authors, only the first 3 should be listed followed by “et al.”
 - The title of the journal article must be included, followed by the National Library of Medicine [make a link for the NLM: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals>] accepted abbreviation of the journal name, the year of publication, the issue number, and page range.

- Only published works and manuscripts that have been accepted for publication should be listed in the References.
7. Manuscripts in preparation, unpublished observations, and personal communications should be referred to in parentheses in the text.

TABLES

Tables should be the penultimate portion of a submission and should be able to be understood without first consulting the text.

1. Tables may be included in the main body text document, but do not upload tables more than once (that is, do not upload as a table file type and include in the main document).
2. Each table should begin on a separate page.
3. Each table must be provided in an editable text file format.
4. Tables should not be embedded in the text sections, but must follow the References section or appear in a separate Word file.
5. DO NOT upload tables as images.
6. Tables should be numbered in sequence as they are referenced in the main text. Tables should have a concise, informative title and data headings must succinctly describe the information in the column or row.
7. Footnotes to tables must be made below the tables to which they refer, using superscript letters to designate.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Legends must be supplied for all figures at the end of the main text file or in a separate file.

- Supplied on a separate page
- Type written, double spaced
- Numbered to correspond with the appropriate figure

FIGURES

Each figure should be individually uploaded separately as a figure file. These can be .tif, .eps, or .jpg files types. PDFs and PowerPoint files are NOT acceptable. **Do not embed figures into the main body file.**

- Figures should be uploaded in the highest resolution available
- Your manuscript may be returned to you for correction if the images are of insufficient quality
- Authors are encouraged to incorporate other colors in line drawings, graphs and charts
- Axis and labeling of these figures must be in black
- Histology figures: Figures depicting histology will only be published in color and the cost of publishing these figures is borne by the author
- Monochrome images (such as line graphs) should be prepared at a resolution of 1200 dots per inch (DPI).

- Halftones images (black/white or color) should be pre-pared at a resolution of 300 DPI
- Combination halftones (images containing both pictures and text labeling) should be prepared at 600 DPI
- Sizing – Width for a 1 column figure is 228 pts; 2 column figure is 474 pts; Maximum height is 650 pts.
- Color images must be saved in the “CMYK” color-space. Images saved in “RGB” colorspace are not acceptable for printing
- Histology figures must be in color
- Color figures submitted in the correct digital format will be charged \$100 USD per figure (including multi-panel figures). Figures not submitted in the correct digital format may incur additional charges
- Any identifiable patient imagery must be accompanied by a signed consent form.

SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT (SDC):

ONLINE-ONLY PUBLICATION

Authors may submit supplementary content as an enhancement to the information provided in the print version of the manuscript. This material is uploaded as Supplemental Digital Content (SDC) and is published online only. It is subject to the same Journal guidelines and policies as the primary submission.

SDC may include the following types of content:

- Text (eg Methods)
- References specifically related to the information provided as SDC
- Tables
- Figures – notably large figure files
- Audio
- Video

SDC must be provided in a Word or PowerPoint file.

Each SDC in the file should have a visual header in the following name format (eg “SDC, Figure 1”; “SDC, Materials and Methods”) and a corresponding citation must appear in the Main Body text. Note that SDC is numbered consecutively and separately from non-SDC material.

SDC files will be available via links placed at the citation points within the article and are not copyedited by the publisher; they will be presented digitally as submitted.

- If providing SDC figure(s), a figure legend should be included on the figure itself.
- For audio and video files, also include the author name, videographer, participants, length (minutes), and size

(MB)

- Video files should be formatted with a 320x240 pixel minimum screen size
- SDC cannot exceed a total file size of 10 MB

SUPPLEMENTAL CONTENT:

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

Authors may submit additional material accessible to Editors and reviewers to further support their submission. This material is provided to enhance the evaluation of the manuscript. It is uploaded as a Supplemental File type and is not published.

INVITED VIDEOS

Authors may be invited to submit videos to the Journal's website. The videos will serve to emphasize key aspects of the research findings reported in their soon to be published manuscript. All video destined for the journals platform must be encoded in MP4 Video (.mp4) format. Video should be encoded using the H.264/Advanced Video (AVC) codec with the extension as (.mp4). Audio should be encoded using the MPEG Layer 11(MP3) codec. Standalone video files should be limited to 1 GB in size. SDC video should be limited to 100 MB in size.

Manuscript Processing

NEW SUBMISSIONS

- www.editorialmanager.com/tpa and vetted for compliance to the journal's requirements. Authors are encouraged to keep copies of all manuscript files as we can bear no responsibility for lost or damaged files due to electronic problems.
- If acceptably formatted, the paper will be submitted to plagiarism-detection software and initially evaluated.
- Peer review: All manuscripts are subject to peer review. After initial review by the Editor-in-Chief and Executive Editors, viable manuscripts will be forwarded to Deputy and Associate editors and sent for external peer review by independent reviewers. (Some Letters and Commentaries may fall outside this review process.)
- First decision will be made after thorough consideration and communicated to the authors.

NOTE: Copyright forms and eSignature verification will also be required from all authors of accepted manuscripts not needing revision. This may include letters, commentaries and invited submissions.

REVISED SUBMISSIONS

All revised submissions are received at www.editorialmanager.com/tpa according to the directions in the decision letter and again vetted for compliance to the journal's requirements.

Revisions should adhere to original specifications for the submission type; however, some exceptions may be warranted. Deviations may be allowed by the Editor but must be noted in the point-by-point response.

During the upload process, authors are given the opportunity to carry forward the files from the previous submission.

PDFs are not accepted.

Requirements:

1. **Response to Reviewers:** A revised manuscript submission must have a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments. Please upload this document as file type Response to Reviewers.
2. **Marked Copy:** Must be in .doc or .docx format. Changes made in the revised manuscript should be indicated in a form easily seen and interpreted by Editors and reviewers. **DO NOT USE the track changes feature of Word.** Edits in text should be highlighted, bolded, underlined, or shown by a text color change. Upload this version as the marked copy file type. Files showing tracked changes will be returned for correction.
3. **Figures** (if applicable): Figures should be uploaded in separate image files.
4. **Clean Copy:** This version incorporates all the changes and edits put forth by the authors. No markings should be visible in this copy. Submit a .doc or .docx file only. If accepted, this is the version the publisher will use for typesetting.
5. **Copyright:** In addition, each author must complete and submit the journal's copyright transfer agreement, which includes a section on the disclosure of potential conflicts of interest based on the recommendations of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors, "Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals" (www.icmje.org/update.html).
6. **eSignature Confirmation:** A copy of the form is made available to the submitting author within the Editorial Manager revision process. Coauthors will automatically receive an email with instructions on completing the form upon revision. Each author will be required to complete their verification questionnaire addressing author responsibility, ethics, financial disclosure and copyright transfer. It is required of ALL authors listed on the revision and must be received from each individual prior to the revision moving forward. The corresponding author will be ultimately responsible for ensuring compliance by all the authors.

Revised manuscripts should be submitted within the deadline specified in the decision letter. Please contact the editorial office to request an extension. Be specific about any length of the extension requested.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPTS

Page Charges

Accepted manuscripts are published with the understanding that the author(s) will pay a charge of \$80 USD per printed page. Invited manuscripts and Letters are exempt from these charges. Under exceptional circumstances, when no source of grant or other support exists, the author(s) may appeal to the Editors at the time of submission to have the page charges waived. Cost of Figures

Color figures submitted in correct digital format will be charged \$100 USD per figure (including multi-panel figures). Figures not submitted in correct digital format may incur additional charges.

Page Proofs

The journal Production Editor will contact you when page proofs are ready for your review. The figures included on author's proofs are high resolution. Please inform the journal Production Editor immediately if you have any questions concerning the quality of the figures on the proofs. For information regarding proofs, or the status of publication of your accepted manuscript, please contact Jessica Heise at [Jessica](mailto:Jessica.Heise@wolterskluwer.com).

Heise@wolterskluwer.com or 1-410-510-1777.

Changes in Corresponding Author's Contact Information

Please give all new information, including email address, to the editorial office and to the publisher. Authors may send this information to Jessica Heise at Jessica.Heise@wolterskluwer.com or by fax to 1-410-510-1777. If the journal is unsuccessful in contacting the corresponding author, the author will not receive proofs for approval, and the manuscript may not be published. Changes at Proofs

It is expected that the final manuscript sent to the Editor is indeed the final version, so few changes should be required at proof stage. The journal will pay for the first 15 edits made to a manuscript at proof stage. Additional author edits may be charged to the author at \$4 USD per change.

Reprints

Ordering printed reprint copies is the responsibility of the authors. You will receive a Reprint Order Form with your page proofs. If your article contains color figures, it is critical you order at that time. If you need a form or want to check on your reprints, please print the Reprints form available at links.lww.com/TP/A864 or contact the Publisher at reprints@lww.com.

Policies

Ethics

The journal requires all procedures and studies involving human subjects to have been carried out according to the ethical guidelines outlined by The

Transplantation Society at [http://www.tts.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article &id=11&Itemid=223](http://www.tts.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=11&Itemid=223) and have involved no illegal commercial transactions, the use of organs or other material from executed prisoners, or other unethical practices in obtaining donor organs. A prerequisite for reviewing a manuscript is that the authors' work complies with the Declarations of Helsinki <http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/> and Istanbul www.declarationofistanbul.org. *Transplantation* adheres to the ethical principles outlined by COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) available at <http://publicationethics.org>. Originality

Materials submitted to *Transplantation* must not be under consideration elsewhere or previously published. Doing so is a violation of the policies of the Committee on Publication Ethics (<http://www.publicationethics.org>) which the journal upholds. This does not apply to meeting abstracts, which may be submitted in article form. Material that formed part of a student dissertation will be permitted. *Transplantation* endorses the policies of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors regarding overlapping publications (<http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/publishing-and-editorial-issues/overlapping-publications.html>).

Financial Support and Competing Interests

A financial disclosure section is included in the online Ethics, Financial Disclosure and Copyright Transfer Agreement, which must be completed by each author upon revision. This information is for review by the Editors but will be published if relevant to the content of the accepted manuscript.

The primary purpose of the disclosure section is to determine whether authors have received any commercial financial support that could create a conflict of interest. In addition to monetary interests, a potential for conflict of interest can exist whether or not an individual believes that a relationship (such as dual commitments, competing interests, or competing loyalties) affects his or her scientific judgment. Please review ICMJE Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals at the following link: <http://www.icmje.org/conflicts-of-interest>

Authorship & Disclosures

The journal expects that each person listed as an author has participated sufficiently in the intellectual content, the analysis of data, and/or the writing of the manuscript to take public responsibility for it. Each author must have reviewed the manuscript, believes it represents valid work, and approves it for submission. Moreover, should the Editors request the data upon which the manuscript is based, the authors shall produce it. Each author's specific contributions to the work should be indicated; this information will be published as a footnote to the paper. For example, the areas of participation might include:

- Participated in research design

- Participated in the writing of the paper
- Participated in the performance of the research
- Contributed new reagents or analytic tools
- Participated in data analysis

An author may list more than one contribution, and more than one author may have contributed to the same aspect of the work. Please review the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors ([ICMJE](http://www.icmje.org/recommendations)) [Guidelines for Authorship](http://www.icmje.org/recommendations) at <http://www.icmje.org/recommendations> for further guidance.

Change in Authorship

Please note carefully that ANY change in authorship, either adding or removing contributors, after submission must be approved in writing by all authors. This signed letter must be submitted to the Editorial Office for final determination. There is no form for adding authors.

Withdrawal after acceptance

Circumstances may vary as to why a manuscript may need to be withdrawn after acceptance. In any case, the Editorial Office must be notified immediately. Please consult the following sites for additional information.

<http://www.edoriumjournals.com/manuscript-withdrawal-policy-edorium-journals.php>

<http://www.editage.com/insights/unethical-withdrawal-of-a-manuscript-for-submission-to-a-higher-impact-factor-journal>

Appeals

If the authors of a submission do not agree with the Editor-in-Chief's decision regarding their paper, they may submit an appeals letter via email to the Editorial office. In their rebuttal they must specifically address the concerns raised in the final decision letter along with their reasoning as to why it should be reconsidered. This appeal should be made within 30 days of receiving the final decision. The decision of the Editor-in-Chief (EIC) will be final. No other manuscript materials should be sent unless invited to do so by the EIC.

Reporting of Randomized Clinical Trials

Registration of clinical trials is an essential requirement for publication of clinical trials in *Transplantation* (for further information, see 2 editorials in *Transplantation*, 2005; 79: 751. These items are also available on our submission site homepage at www.editorialmanager.com/tpa. On the title page of your manuscript, provide the name of the trial registry and the registration number/identifier of the trial.

Acceptable web-based clinical trial registries include the following:

- EudraCT at <https://eudract.ema.europa.eu> for EU trials
- ClinicalTrials.gov at www.clinicaltrials.gov for US trials

- Current Controlled Trials found at www.controlled-trials.com
- WHO International Trial Registry Network found at <http://www.who.int/ictip/network/primary/en/index.html>
- Australian & New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry, found at <http://www.anzctr.org.au/>
- And any publicly available primary registry of clinical trials

Reports of randomized clinical trials should follow the recommendations given in the Consolidated Standards of Reported Trials (CONSORT) statement <http://www.consort-statement.org>. In brief, this statement comprises a checklist and flow diagram to help improve the quality of reports of randomized controlled trials and offers a standard way for researchers to report trials. Include both the checklist and the flow diagram in your submission.

Optional Reporting Guidelines

The following resources may be helpful to authors:

- PRISMA – Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses <http://www.prisma-statement.org>
- STROBE - Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology <http://www.strobe-statement.org>
- STEGA - Strengthening the Reporting of Genetic Associations <http://www.med.uottawa.ca/public-health-genomics/web/eng/strega.html>

Qualitative research

Qualitative research provides in-depth insights about people's values, attitudes, beliefs, and experiences. Qualitative methodology informs approaches to data collection and analysis, and includes grounded theory, ethnography, and phenomenology. Open-ended interviews and focus groups are commonly used to collect data. Authors are advised to follow the COREQ guidelines for reporting primary qualitative research. Please visit: <http://intqhc.oxfordjournals.org/content/19/6/349.full.pdf>

Systematic review and/or synthesis of primary qualitative studies can provide a broader understanding of people's perspectives across different healthcare contexts. Methodologies for synthesis of qualitative research include thematic synthesis, meta-ethnography and critical interpretive synthesis. Authors can refer to the ENTREQ statement at <http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1471-2288-12-181.pdf>

English Language Assistance

Appropriate use of the English language is a requirement for publication in Transplantation. Authors who have difficulty in writing in English may seek assistance with grammar and style to improve the clarity of their manuscript. Many companies provide substantive editing via the web. A few examples include:

- www.themedicaleditor.com

- www.biosciencewriters.com
- www.bostonbioedit.com
- www.sciencedocs.com
- www.journalexperts.com
- www.enago.com

Please note that neither Wolters Kluwer Heath/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins (LWW) nor the Journal takes responsibility for, or endorses, these services. Their use does not guarantee acceptance of a manuscript for publication.

TRANSFER TO Transplantation Direct (TXD)

ONLINE-only publication - OPEN ACCESS

The Editors may determine that a manuscript initially submitted to *Transplantation* may be more suitable for publication in *Transplantation Direct*. The Editors will offer to transfer to the submission TXD for further consideration. Visit <http://www.transplantationdirect.com> for more details.

Supplements and Colloquia

A separate guide for authors interested in proposing Colloquia and Featured Supplements for the journal is available at <http://journals.lww.com/transplantjournal/Documents/TP000IFS.pdf>.

OPEN ACCESS

LWW's hybrid open access option is offered to authors whose articles have been accepted for publication. With this choice, articles are made freely available online immediately upon publication. Authors may take advantage of the open access option at the point of acceptance to ensure that this choice has no influence on the peer review and acceptance process. These articles are subject to the journal's standard peer-review process and will be accepted or rejected based on their own merit. How it works

Authors of accepted peer-reviewed articles have the choice to pay a fee to allow perpetual unrestricted online access to their published article to readers globally, immediately upon publication. Authors must inform the Editorial Office of their intention regarding open access within 7 days of the date of decision. The article processing charge for *Transplantation* is \$3200 USD. The article processing charge for authors funded by the Research Councils UK (RCUK) is \$4000 USD. The publication fee is charged on acceptance of the article and should be paid within 30 days by credit card by the author, funding agency or institution. Payment must be received in full for the article to be published open access.

Authors retain copyright

Authors retain their copyright for all articles they opt to publish open access. Authors grant LWW a license to publish the article and identify itself as the original publisher.

Creative Commons license

Articles opting for open access will be freely available to read, download and share from the time of publication. Articles are published under the terms of the Creative Commons License Attribution-NonCommercial No Derivative 3.0 which allows readers to disseminate and reuse the article, as well as share and reuse of the scientific material. It does not permit commercial exploitation or the creation of derivative works without specific permission. To view a copy of this license visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0>

Authors of accepted papers have 7 days from the date of decision to indicate their preferences regarding open access. Changes are not permitted after this period..

Compliance with NIH and other research funding agency accessibility requirements

A number of research funding agencies now require or request authors to submit the post-print (the article after peer review and acceptance but not the final published article) to a repository that is accessible online by all without charge. As a service to our authors, LWW identifies to the National Library of Medicine (NLM) articles that require deposit and transmits the post-print of an article based on research funded in whole or in part by the National Institutes of Health, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, or other funding agencies to PubMed Central. The Copyright Transfer Agreement (CTA) provides the mechanism. LWW ensures that authors can fully comply with the public access requirements of major funding bodies worldwide. Additionally, all authors who choose the open access option will have their final published article deposited into PubMed Central.

There are two models of open access: green and gold.

- The green, self-archiving model is available for authors who wish to post their article to a repository. There is a waiting period (embargo) during which the article is not publicly accessible. After the embargo period expires (12 months), the article is made available. The author is responsible for posting the accepted article in the repository and for adding links and metadata to the hosted version. The accepted version is the author's version of the article that has been accepted for publication. It is not copyedited, formatted or paginated for inclusion in an issue. There is no fee for green open access as it is supported by subscription costs.
- The gold open access route carries a fee paid by the author, their institution or funding body. Gold open access means immediate public

access to the final, published article. Published articles have been copyedited, formatted, and (if relevant) paginated into an issue.

RCUK funded authors can choose to publish their paper as open access with the payment of an article process charge, or opt for their accepted manuscript to be deposited (green route) into PMC with an embargo.

With both the gold and green open access options, the author will continue to sign the CTA as it provides the mechanism for LWW to ensure that the author is fully compliant with the requirements. After signature of the CTA, the author will then sign a License to Publish where they will then own the copyright.

It is the responsibility of the author to inform the Editorial Office and/or LWW that they have RCUK funding. LWW will not be held responsible for retroactive deposits to PMC if the author has not completed the proper forms.

Frequently asked questions about open access are available at the following link: <http://links.lww.com/LWW-ES/A48>

ANEXO B- PARECER CEP UFCSPA**PARECER CONSUBSTANCIADO DO CEP****DADOS DO PROJETO DE PESQUISA**

Título da Pesquisa: PERFIL DA FUNÇÃO PULMONAR E DA CAPACIDADE FUNCIONAL DE PACIENTES TRANSPLANTADOS PULMONARES INTERVIVOS. **Pesquisador:** Pedro Dal Lago **Área Temática:**

Versão: 2

CAAE: 49596315.8.0000.5345

Instituição Proponente: Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre

Patrocinador Principal: Financiamento Próprio

DADOS DO PARECER

Número do Parecer: 1.374.352

Apresentação do Projeto:

O presente estudo trata-se de um estudo observacional clínico, do tipo transversal e retrospectivo, para avaliação de pacientes submetidos ao transplante intervivos de pulmão. Os dados pré transplantes serão obtidos do prontuário, tendo sido realizados pela equipe do transplante pulmonar, e a avaliação após seis meses da realização pela equipe de pesquisa. Serão analisados pacientes submetidos ao transplante no período de 1999 a 2015 no Complexo Hospitalar Irmandade Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre, serão incluídos no estudo 36 pacientes que estão em acompanhamento médico. Estes pacientes serão avaliados quanto à função pulmonar, capacidade funcional, força muscular respiratória, nível de dispneia e qualidade de vida. Serão comparados e correlacionados os dados coletados pré e pós transplante.

Objetivo da Pesquisa:

OBJETIVO GERAL: Caracterizar o perfil da função pulmonar e da capacidade funcional dos pacientes submetidos ao transplante pulmonar intervivos, no período de 1999a 2015, realizados na Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre.

OBJETIVOS SECUNDÁRIOS: Verificar a função pulmonar após o transplante pulmonar intervivos; Verificar a capacidade funcional do paciente transplantado pulmonar intervivos, pré e pós procedimento; Investigar os efeitos do procedimento de transplante pulmonar intervivos e seu impacto na saúde geral do paciente; Verificar a qualidade de vida após transplante pulmonar; Identificar o nível de atividade física e atividades de vida diária dos pacientes transplantados pulmonares intervivos após procedimento; Avaliar a força muscular respiratória após procedimento de transplante pulmonar; Comparar as respostas das variáveis de função pulmonar, capacidade funcional dos pacientes pré e pós transplante; Identificar nível de dispneia dos pacientes transplantados intervivos, após procedimento.

Avaliação dos Riscos e Benefícios:

Apresentados e, assim, descritos no projeto e TCLE. Como benefícios deste estudo, os participantes serão avaliados gratuitamente por uma equipe especializada e os resultados coletados servirão para compreender o estado de saúde do participante naquele momento. Já os eventuais riscos do presente estudo são mínimos, entretanto, o teste de caminhada pode causar cansaço nas pernas, falta de ar ou aumento da frequência cardíaca, mas será orientado a interromper o teste ou descansar. A manovacuometria também poderá gerar um cansaço transitório. Está garantida a plena assistência ao paciente pelos pesquisadores responsáveis, caso haja necessidade.

Comentários e Considerações sobre a Pesquisa: Pesquisa pertinente, objetivos claros, metodologia descrita adequadamente.

Considerações sobre os Termos de apresentação obrigatória:

Apresentados adequadamente o termo de anuência do local de realização da pesquisa, termo de consentimento e assentimento e termo de compromisso de entrega de relatório.

Recomendações:

Conclusões ou Pendências e Lista de Inadequações:

Projeto em condições de realização.

Considerações Finais a critério do CEP:

Período de execução dez/2015-julho/2016

Este parecer foi elaborado baseado nos documentos abaixo relacionados:

Tipo Documento	Arquivo	Postagem	Autor	Situação
Informações	PB_INFORMAÇÕES_BÁSICAS_DO_P	04/11/2015		Aceito

Página 02 de

Continuação do Parecer: 1.374.352

Básicas do Projeto	ETO_588782.pdf	16:51:43		Aceito
Declaração de Instituição e Infraestrutura	anuencia.docx	04/11/2015 16:51:12	Fernanda de Andrade	Aceito
Outros	relatorio.docx	25/09/2015 14:40:08	Fernanda de Andrade	Aceito
TCLE / Termos de Assentimento / Justificativa de Ausência	assentimento.docx	25/09/2015 14:37:08	Fernanda de Andrade	Aceito
TCLE / Termos de Assentimento / Justificativa de Ausência	tcle.docx	25/09/2015 14:35:38	Fernanda de Andrade	Aceito
Projeto Detalhado / Brochura Investigador	projeto.docx	25/09/2015 14:27:58	Fernanda de Andrade	Aceito
Folha de Rosto	201509221433.pdf	24/09/2015 00:10:28	Fernanda de Andrade	Aceito

Situação do Parecer:

Aprovado

Necessita Apreciação da CONEP:

Não

PORTO ALEGRE, 17 de Dezembro de 2015

Assinado por:

Julia Fernanda Semmelmann Pereira Lima (Coordenador)

ANEXO C- TERMO DE CONSENTIMENTO LIVRE E ESCLARECIDO (TCLE)



Termo de Consentimento Livre e Esclarecido adequado sob nº466/12

O Sr(a) ou seu protegido está sendo convidado(a) a participar de um estudo que tem por objetivo caracterizar o perfil da função pulmonar e capacidade funcional dos pacientes submetidos ao transplante pulmonar intervivos, no período de 1999 a 2015, realizados na Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre. O título do estudo é “PERFIL DA FUNÇÃO PULMONAR E DA CAPACIDADE FUNCIONAL DE PACIENTES TRANSPLANTADOS PULMONARES INTERVIVOS” e será conduzido com o auxílio da Equipe de Transplantes Pulmonares da Santa Casa de Misericórdia.

A coleta dos dados será realizada na Reabilitação Pulmonar do Pavilhão Pereira Filho, na Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre. A sua participação, ou de seu protegido, na pesquisa iniciará após a leitura, o esclarecimento de possíveis dúvidas e do seu consentimento livre e esclarecido por escrito. A assinatura do Termo de Consentimento Livre e Esclarecido será feita em duas vias, permanecendo uma delas com o participante ou seu responsável. Você será informado sobre os procedimentos e resultados da participação na pesquisa e receberá esclarecimento sobre as dúvidas que possam surgir dela.

1. **Dados Coletados:**

As informações coletadas na pesquisa permanecerão no anonimato. Apenas os pesquisadores terão acesso aos dados de identificação, o que torna seus dados confidenciais. Os dados de identificação somente serão utilizados internamente conforme protocolo da pesquisa e para relatar os resultados a você mesmo.

2. **Assistência durante o estudo:**

Durante toda pesquisa os participantes receberão acompanhamento dos pesquisadores para aplicação de questionários de qualidade de vida e atividade física, para o teste de caminhada de seis minutos e para a manovacuometria. Caso houver necessidade de desistência, você poderá fazê-lo no decorrer da pesquisa. Se precisar interromper qualquer parte do estudo por motivos de dor, desconforto, falta de ar, você estará sob cuidados da equipe de pesquisa e será devidamente orientado.

Fases do Estudo:

ENTREVISTA: nesta etapa coletaremos seus dados de identificação e algumas informações referentes aos períodos pré e pós transplante e seu estado de saúde.

AValiação: A avaliação será realizada apenas em um dia. Nesse dia, você ou seu protegido primeiramente irá responder três questionários. O primeiro,

chamado SF-36, é um questionário sobre a qualidade de vida. Os demais questionários abordam as atividades físicas (IPAQ-curto) e atividades de vida diária (questionário London) para sabermos como estão as suas atividades, ou de seu protegido, na sua cidade. Em um segundo momento do mesmo dia, serão verificados massa, estatura e pressão arterial. Na sequência, será realizado um teste de caminhada em um corredor de 30 metros num tempo de seis minutos, sendo que você ou seu protegido pode interromper/sentar quando necessário. Você ou seu protegido deverá caminhar quanto mais rápido conseguir, sendo medido nesse teste quantas voltas você dará, qual o seu batimento do coração, a pressão e grau de falta de ar ou cansaço.

Por fim, você ou seu protegido vai realizar o teste dos músculos respiratórios que é muito parecido com a espirometria. Será colocado um clip nasal, e serão realizadas três inspirações e posteriormente três expirações em um bocal que é conectado a um aparelho que verifica a força os seus músculos inspiratórios e expiratórios. Você ou seu protegido será incentivado a realizar a máxima força inspiratória e expiratória possível. Serão realizadas 3 tentativas, com intervalo de 1 minuto em cada uma para que você ou seu protegido possa descansar e se recuperar. O tempo estimado das avaliações é de em torno de 1 hora e 50 minutos.

3. **Benefícios e Riscos:**

Como benefícios deste estudo, os participantes serão avaliados gratuitamente por uma equipe especializada e os resultados coletados servirão para compreender o estado de saúde do participante naquele momento.

Já os eventuais riscos do presente estudo são mínimos, entretanto, o teste de caminhada pode causar cansaço nas pernas, falta de ar ou aumento da frequência cardíaca, mas será orientado a interromper o teste ou descansar. A manovacuometria também poderá gerar um cansaço transitório. Está garantida a plena assistência ao paciente pelos pesquisadores responsáveis, caso haja necessidade.

4. **Decisão quanto à participação:**

A participação na pesquisa será voluntária. Concordando ou recusando em participar, não serão obtidas vantagens ou desvantagens no atendimento e tratamento no serviço de saúde no qual os pacientes são atendidos. Ninguém será obrigado a responder a todas as perguntas e realizar todas as avaliações e exercícios, podendo interromper ou cancelá-los a qualquer momento sem nenhum constrangimento. A participação em todos os procedimentos da pesquisa não implicará no pagamento de qualquer taxa.

Necessitando quaisquer esclarecimentos sobre a pesquisa ou querendo cancelar a participação nela, o participante poderá entrar em contato direto com a Fisioterapeuta pesquisadora Fernanda de Andrade ou pelo número do seu telefone celular: (54) 9987-7187.

Eu _____ recebi as informações sobre os objetivos da pesquisa de forma clara e concordo em participar do estudo.

Data: ___/___/___

Nome do participante: _____

Nome do responsável: _____

Contato (telefone): (____) _____

Nome da pesquisadora: Fernanda de Andrade

Nome do pesquisador responsável: Dr. Pedro Dal Lago

Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa da UFCSPA: Rua Sarmiento Leite, 245–CEP:
90.050-170 Porto Alegre – Rio Grande do Sul

E-mail: CEP@ufcspa.edu.br

Telefone:(51) 3303-8804

Participante ou Responsável

Pesquisador

Pesquisador Responsável