

**UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE CIÊNCIAS DA SAÚDE DE
PORTO ALEGRE
CURSO DE FISIOTERAPIA**

Luiza de Oliveira Mendes

**IMAGINATION AND OBSERVATION OF ACTION IN THE REHABILITATION
OF MOTOR SKILLS IN PEOPLE WITH PARKINSON'S DISEASE: A
SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIALS**

UFCSPA

**Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde
de Porto Alegre**

Porto Alegre

2024

Luiza de Oliveira Mendes

**IMAGINATION AND OBSERVATION OF ACTION IN THE REHABILITATION
OF MOTOR SKILLS IN PEOPLE WITH PARKINSON'S DISEASE: A
SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIALS**

Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso de
Fisioterapia, da Universidade Federal
de Ciências da Saúde de Porto
Alegre, como requisito parcial para
obtenção do título de Bacharel em
Fisioterapia

Orientador: Fernanda Cechetti

Coorientador: Kátine Marchezan Estivalet

Porto Alegre

2024

Catlogação na Publicação

de Oliveira Mendes, Luiza

IMAGINATION AND OBSERVATION OF ACTION IN THE
REHABILITATION OF MOTOR SKILLS IN PEOPLE WITH PARKINSON'S
DISEASE: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RANDOMIZED CLINICAL
TRIALS / Luiza de Oliveira Mendes. -- 2024.

54 p. : il., tab. ; 30 cm.

Monografia (trabalho de conclusão de curso) --
Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre,
Curso de Fisioterapia, 2024.

Orientador(a): Fernanda Cechetti ; coorientador(a):
Kátine Estivalet.

1. Doença de Parkinson. 2. Imagética motora. 3.
Observação da ação. 4. Função motora. 5.
Neurorreabilitação. I. Título.

Sistema de Geração de Ficha Catalográfica da UFCSPA com os dados
fornecidos pelo(a) autor(a).

LUIZA DE OLIVEIRA MENDES

**IMAGINATION AND OBSERVATION OF ACTION IN
THE REHABILITATION OF MOTOR SKILLS IN
PEOPLE WITH PARKINSON'S DISEASE: A
SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RANDOMIZED
CLINICAL TRIALS**

Trabalho final, apresentado a Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre, como parte das exigências para a obtenção do título de Bacharel em Fisioterapia.

Porto Alegre, 3 de dezembro de 2024.

BANCA EXAMINADORA

Prof.^(a) FERNANDA CECHETTI

Prof.^(a) RODRIGO DELLA MÉA PLENTZ

Prof.^(a) JOCIANE SCHARDONG

Para as minhas maiores fontes de ensinamentos e inspiração, meus pais.

AGRADECIMENTOS

Ao concluir este trabalho, quero agradecer...

... aos meus pais, Alessandra de Oliveira Mendes (*in memorian*) e Alexandre Chesini Mendes que foram incansáveis em me ensinar a seguir meus sonhos e sempre foram meu maiores exemplos. E à Belinha (*in memorian*) e Any por serem minhas maiores parceiras de vida.

... à dedicação, comprometimento e inesgotável paciência de minha orientadora, Fernanda Cechetti. Agradeço pelo apoio e todos os ensinamentos adquiridos desde as disciplinas até a finalização deste Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso.

... a ajuda e trocas de conhecimento com minha co orientadora, Kátine Estivalet nesta reta final de curso.

... à minha família e amigos por estarem ao meu lado durante todos os desafios desta trajetória.

RESUMO

Introdução: A imagética motora (IM) e a observação da ação (OA) são duas abordagens promissoras na reabilitação da função motora em indivíduos com a doença de Parkinson (DP). Elas podem ser utilizadas de forma isolada ou combinada e apresentam potencial para a melhora do controle motor, desacelerar a progressão da doença e promover mudanças plásticas no córtex motor. Apesar da OA e IM demonstrarem resultados positivos, dando-lhes maior autonomia e diminuindo o freezing da marcha, há poucos estudos que abordem suas ações de forma combinada, indicando a necessidade de estudos que atualizem os protocolos utilizando a OA e a IM no tratamento de pessoas com a DP. **Métodos:** A revisão sistemática seguiu as diretrizes PRISMA e foi registrada no PROSPERO (CRD42023428047). As buscas ocorreram entre junho e agosto de 2023, com uma nova busca para atualização em maio de 2024 em bases de dados como PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane, LILACS e PEDro, selecionando ensaios clínicos randomizados e estudos pilotos publicados nos últimos 10 anos. Os estudos deveriam avaliar os efeitos motores da IM e OA em pessoas com a DP, sem restrições de estágio, sexo ou tempo da doença. Estudos experimentais e incompletos foram excluídos. O principal desfecho analisado foi a parte III da escala Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) utilizada para avaliar os sintomas motores da DP. Dois revisores realizaram a seleção de estudos e resolveram divergências por consenso ou com um terceiro revisor. Dados como características da amostra, intervenção e resultados foram extraídos usando um formulário padronizado. A qualidade metodológica foi avaliada pela escala PEDro, considerando como alta qualidade estudos com pontuação ≥ 5 . **Resultados:** Após os critérios de exclusão, foram selecionados seis estudos para esta revisão sistemática. Ao total foram analisados 152 participantes entre 63 e 76 anos, com prevalência do estágio 2 na Escala Hoehn e Yahr. As intervenções incluíram OA em três estudos, IM em dois, e uma combinação de OA e IM em um estudo. As sessões variaram de 2 a 8 semanas, com 60 a 120 minutos por sessão, totalizando 8 a 28 sessões. **Discussão:** A DP gera efeitos deletérios no equilíbrio, marcha e habilidades manuais. E a OA e a IM são intervenções que melhoram os sintomas motores e qualidade de vida. A revisão destacou que a OA ajuda no equilíbrio, na marcha e no congelamento da marcha, enquanto a IM auxilia no equilíbrio, risco de quedas e habilidades motoras. Um estudo utilizou a OA e IM de forma combinada e apresentou resultados significativos, sugerindo benefícios na reorganização funcional cerebral. Apesar dos avanços, há necessidade de mais pesquisas sobre funções motoras dos membros superiores e combinações das técnicas. **Conclusão:** Este estudo analisou seis estudos que avaliaram as funções motoras nas pessoas com DP a partir do UPDRS-III. A maioria dos estudos mostrou melhora significativa nas habilidades motoras após intervenções com OA, IM ou uma combinação das duas. E destaca a falta de estudos realizados para avaliar os efeitos destas abordagens na função motora e funcionalidade nos membros superiores.

Palavras-chave: Doença de Parkinson, Função motora, Imagética motora, Neuroreabilitação, Observação da ação, Prática mental

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Motor imagery (MI) and action observation (AO) are two promising approaches in the rehabilitation of motor function in individuals with Parkinson's disease (PD). They can be used either separately or combined and have the potential to improve motor control, slow disease progression, and promote plastic changes in the motor cortex. Although AO and MI show positive results, providing greater autonomy and reducing freezing of gait, there are few studies that address their combined effects, indicating the need for studies that update protocols using AO and MI in the treatment of individuals with PD.

Methods: The systematic review followed the PRISMA guidelines and was registered in PROSPERO (CRD42023428047). The searches took place between June and August 2023, with an additional search for updates in May 2024 in databases such as PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane, LILACS, and PEDro, selecting randomized clinical trials and pilot studies published in the last 10 years. The studies should evaluate the motor effects of MI and AO in individuals with PD, without restrictions on stage, sex, or disease duration. Experimental and incomplete studies were excluded. The primary outcome analyzed was part III of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS), used to assess motor symptoms of PD. Two reviewers conducted the study selection and resolved disagreements by consensus or with a third reviewer. Data such as sample characteristics, intervention, and outcomes were extracted using a standardized form. The methodological quality was assessed using the PEDro scale, considering studies with a score ≥ 5 as high quality.

Results: After applying the exclusion criteria, six studies were selected for this systematic review. A total of 152 participants aged between 63 and 76 years were analyzed, with a prevalence of stage 2 on the Hoehn and Yahr Scale. The interventions included AO in three studies, MI in two, and a combination of AO and MI in one study. The sessions ranged from 2 to 8 weeks, with 60 to 120 minutes per session, totaling 8 to 28 sessions.

Discussion: PD has detrimental effects on balance, gait, and manual skills. AO and MI are interventions that improve motor symptoms and quality of life. The review highlighted that AO helps with balance, gait, and freezing of gait, while MI assists with balance, fall risk, and motor skills. One study used AO and MI combined and showed significant results, suggesting benefits in brain functional reorganization. Despite advances, further research is needed on upper limb motor functions and combinations of the techniques.

Conclusion: This study analyzed six studies that assessed motor functions in individuals with PD using the UPDRS-III. Most studies showed significant improvement in motor skills after interventions with AO, MI, or a combination of both. It also highlights the lack of studies evaluating the effects of these approaches on motor function and upper limb functionality.

Key words: Parkinson's Disease, Motor Function, Motor Imagery, Neurorehabilitation, Action Observation, Mental Practice.

SUMÁRIO

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 14 |
| 2. METHODS | 16 |
| 2.1. Design and search strategy..... | 16 |
| 2.2. Eligibility criteria..... | 17 |
| 2.3. Main outcome, study selection, and data extraction..... | 17 |
| 2.4. Assessment of risk of bias..... | 18 |
| 3. RESULTS | 18 |
| 3.1. Participants..... | 24 |
| 3.2. Interventions..... | 24 |
| 4. DISCUSSION | 38 |
| 5. CONCLUSION | 41 |
| 6. FUNDING | 42 |
| 7. CONFLICT OF INTEREST | 42 |
| 8. REFERENCES | 43 |
| 9. ANEXO A - NORMAS DA REVISTA | 47 |

REFERENCES

Abbruzzese, G., Avanzino, L., Marchese, R., & Pelosin, E. (2015). Action observation and motor imagery: Innovative cognitive tools in the rehabilitation of Parkinson's disease. *Parkinson's Disease*, 2015, 124214. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/124214>

Agosta, F., Gatti, R., Sarasso, E., Volonté, M. A., Canu, E., Meani, A., Sarro, L., Copetti, M., Cattrysse, E., Kerckhofs, E., Comi, G., Falini, A., & Filippi, M. (2017). Brain plasticity in Parkinson's disease with freezing of gait induced by action observation training. *Journal of Neurology*, 264(1), 88–101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8309-7>

Abraham, A., Hart, A., Andrade, I., & Hackney, M. E. (2018). Dynamic neuro-cognitive imagery improves mental imagery ability, disease severity, and motor and cognitive functions in people with Parkinson's disease. *Neural Plasticity*, 2018, 6168507. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6168507>

Bek, J., Gowen, E., Vogt, S., Crawford, T. J., & Poliakoff, E. (2018). Combined action observation and motor imagery influences hand movement amplitude in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, 61, 126–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2018.11.001>

Bek, J., Webb, J., Gowen, E., Vogt, S., Crawford, T. J., Sullivan, M. S., & Poliakoff, E. (2016). Patients' views on a combined action observation and

motor imagery intervention for Parkinson's disease. *Parkinson's Disease*, 2016, 7047910. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7047910>

Bek, J., Holmes, P. S., Craig, C. E., Franklin, Z. C., Sullivan, M., Webb, J., Crawford, T. J., Vogt, S., Gowen, E., & Poliakoff, E. (2021). Action imagery and observation in neurorehabilitation for Parkinson's disease (ACTION-PD): Development of a user-informed home training intervention to improve functional hand movements. *Parkinson's Disease*, 2021, 4559519. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/4559519>

Caligiore, D., Mustile, M., Spalletta, G., & Baldassarre, G. (2017). Action observation and motor imagery for rehabilitation in Parkinson's disease: A systematic review and an integrative hypothesis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 72, 210–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.11.005>

Giannakopoulos, I., Karanika, P., Papaxanthis, C., & Tsaklis, P. (2022). The effects of action observation therapy as a rehabilitation tool in Parkinson's disease patients: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(6), 3311. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19063311>

Giorgi, G., Ferrarello, F., Merlo, F., Fumagalli, S., Marchionni, N., & Di Bari, M. (2018). First-person perspective action observation training in individuals with Parkinson's disease: A consideration-of-concept controlled pilot trial. *Journal of*

Geriatric Physical Therapy, 41(3), 134–142.

<https://doi.org/10.1519/JPT.000000000000113>

Maher, C. G., Sherrington, C., Herbert, R. D., Moseley, A. M., & Elkins, M. (2003). Reliability of the PEDro Scale for rating quality of randomized controlled trials. *Physical Therapy*, 83(8), 713–721.

Mezzarobba, S., Grassi, M., Pellegrini, L., Catalan, M., Kruger, B., Furlanis, G., Manganotti, P., & Bernardis, P. (2018). Action observation plus sonification: A novel therapeutic protocol for Parkinson's patients with freezing of gait. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 8, 723. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00723>

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & Group, P. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Open Medicine*, 3(3), e123–e130. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2535>

Rizzi, B., Nuresi, C., Rovacchi, C., Bacchini, M., Savi, F., Falco, L., Schianchi, L., Scaglione, A., Ciraci, C., Constantino, C., & Buccino, G. (2022). Motor imagery and action-observation in neurorehabilitation: A study protocol in Parkinson's disease patients. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 13, 990618. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.990618>

Sarasso, E., Gemma, M., Agosta, F., Filippi, M., & Gatti, R. (2015). Action observation training to improve motor function recovery: A systematic review. *Archives of Physiotherapy*, 5(14). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40945-015-0013-x>

Sarasso, E., Agosta, F., Piramide, N., Gardoni, A., Canu, E., Leocadi, M., Castelnuovo, V., Basaia, S., Tettamanti, A., Volonté, M. A., & Filippi, M. (2021). Action observation and motor imagery improve dual task in Parkinson's disease: A clinical/fMRI study. *Movement Disorders*, 36(11), 2569–2582.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28717>

Silva, D. M., Coriolano, M. G. W., Macêdo, J. G. F., Silva, L. P., & Lins, O. G. (2016). Practice of mental protocols used in rehabilitation of patients with Parkinson's disease: A systematic review. *Acta Fisiatrica*, 23(3), 155–160.
<https://doi.org/10.5935/0104-7795.20160030>

Temporiti, F., Adamo, P., Cavalli, E., & Gatti, R. (2020). Efficacy and characteristics of the stimuli of action observation therapy in subjects with Parkinson's disease: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 11, 808.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.00808>

Tinaz, S., Kamel, S., Aravala, S. S., Elfil, M., Bayoumi, A., Patel, A., Scheinost, D., Sinha, R., & Hampson, M. (2022). Neurofeedback-guided kinesthetic motor imagery training in Parkinson's disease: Randomized trial. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 34, 102980. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2022.102980>

ANEXO

ANEXO A - NORMAS DA REVISTA ESCOLHIDA PARA SUBMISSÃO DO ARTIGO

Author Guidelines

SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

Submission information

By submitting my article to this journal, I agree to the Author Copyright Agreement, the IOS Press Ethics Policy, and the IOS Press Privacy Policy.

Authors are requested to submit their manuscript electronically to the journal's editorial management system. Note that the manuscript should be uploaded as one file with tables and figures included. All submissions need to be in MsWord format; pdf format will not be accepted.

Publication fee

When an article is accepted for publication, authors are required to pay a publication fee of €600/US\$600.

Publication fees do not apply to invited (solicited) articles, book reviews, and letters to the editor. In all other cases, there are no exceptions to the fee.

Artificial intelligence policy

IOS Press has adopted Sage's ChatGPT and Generative AI Policy. Please go to: [Artificial Intelligence Policy | SAGE Publications Ltd](#) for details.

Changes to authorship

IOS Press has adopted Sage's Authorship Policy. Please go to: [Authorship guidelines | SAGE Publications Ltd](#) for details.

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPTS

1. Organization of the paper and style of presentation

Manuscripts must be written in English. Authors whose native language is not English are advised to consult a professional English language editing service or a native English speaker prior to submission.

Please use person first language (i.e. a person with an injury, not an injured person) and active voice.

Manuscripts should be written in Arial, font size 12 and prepared with 1 inch margins and double spacing throughout, including the abstract, footnotes and references. Every page of the manuscript, including the title page, references, tables, etc., should be numbered. However, in the text no reference should be made to page numbers; if necessary, one may refer to sections. Try to avoid the excessive use of italics and bold face.

Manuscripts should be organized in the following order:

- Title page
- Abstract
- Body of text (divided by subheadings: Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion)
- Statements (Acknowledgements, Declaration of interest, Ethics statement, Informed consent, Funding statement)
- References
- Tables and captions (10 maximum)
- Figures and captions (10 maximum)

2. Title page

The title page should provide the following information:

- Title (should be clear, descriptive and not too long)
- Abstract
- Keywords (6-8 terms from the MeSH database)

- Article type: Research Article, Review Article, Brief Report, Case Report, or Letter to the Editor

3. Abstract

The abstract should be clear, descriptive, self-explanatory and not longer than 200 words. The abstract should be suitable for publication in abstracting services. The abstract for research papers should follow the “structured abstract” format. Section labels should be in bold uppercase letters followed by a colon, and each section will begin on a new line.

BACKGROUND:

OBJECTIVE:

METHODS:

RESULTS:

CONCLUSIONS:

4. Materials and methods

Policy on experimental subjects

IOS Press has adopted Sage’s Publication ethics policies. Please go to: [Publication ethics and research integrity: policy guidelines for authors | SAGE Publications Ltd](#) for details.

Data sharing policy

All relevant data that were used or produced for conducting the work presented in a paper is encouraged to be made FAIR and compliant with the PLOS data availability guidelines prior to submission. See in particular the list of recommended data repositories. (We might provide our own data availability guidelines in the future, but we borrow the excellent PLOS guidelines for now.) Data must be made openly accessible and freely reusable via established institutions and standards, unless privacy concerns forbid such a publication. In any case, metadata must be made publicly accessible and visible.

Supplementary data can be submitted and should be included within the manuscript after the figures and tables (or after the references). Each

supplementary item should have a legend and should not exceed the file size of 10 MB. A short description of the supplementary items should be included under the header Supplementary Material within the manuscript before the References. Large datasets should be hosted on the author's own or institute's website or in an appropriate database, and should be properly cited within the manuscript.

Reporting guidelines

Manuscripts should adhere to the EQUATOR Network reporting guidelines relevant to the research design.

Acknowledgments

Specify the acknowledgements. If there are none, you can still include this section and insert "The authors have no acknowledgments".

Declaration of interest

IOS Press has adopted Sage's Publication ethics policies. Please go to: [Publication ethics and research integrity: policy guidelines for authors | SAGE Publications Ltd](#) for details.

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work.

Funding

Specify sources of funding. If there are none, you can still include this section at the end of the paper and insert "The authors report no funding".

5. Article types

Research articles

Research articles should be structured as outline above. Submissions must not exceed 25 pages, excluding references, tables and figures.

Review articles

Review articles should be authoritative and topical and provide comprehensive

and balanced coverage of a timely and/or controversial issue. For systematic reviews, authors should consult PRISMA (prisma-statement.org) and prepare their reviews as per the PRISMA Checklist. We recommend the EQUATOR Network (equator-network.org) and the NLM's Research Reporting Guidelines and Initiatives (nlm.nih.gov/services/research_report_guide.html) as good sources for reporting guidelines. Submissions must not exceed 7500 words and include no more than 80 references and a maximum of 10 tables and figures. The search should have been carried out within the past 6 months so that the manuscript is timely.

Brief reports

Brief reports are articles of original scholarship of unusual interest of less than 1500 words (excluding references). They should be structured as research articles (see above) and may have a structured abstract. A total of two tables and/or figures are allowed.

Case reports

Case reports explain the diagnosis, treatment, and outcome of an individual case which has not been previously published in the medical literature. Administered treatments should be supported by previously published research. Case reports must not exceed 2000 words and should follow the CARE guidelines (care-statement.org).

Letter to the editor

Authors can submit comments of 1000 words or less concerning prior articles published in *NeuroRehabilitation*. Letters should not exceed 500 words and five references; should have one table/figure maximum; should have no abstract or key points; and should include any conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Authors are requested to use the APA (American Psychological Association) citation style. APA in-text citations should include the author's last name followed by the year of publication. All publications cited in the text should be

presented in an alphabetical list of references at the end of the manuscript. Submitted articles can be listed as (author(s), unpublished data). See their website for more information. Authors are responsible for checking the accuracy of all references. Manuscripts will not be considered if they do not conform to the APA citation guidelines. References must be listed alphabetically in APA style:

Anderson, A. K. (2005). Affective influences on the attentional dynamics supporting awareness. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 134, 258–281.

Anderson, A. K., Christoff, K., Panitz, D., De Rosa, E., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2003). Neural correlates of the automatic processing of threat facial signals. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 23, 5627–5633.

Armony, J. L., & Dolan, R. J. (2002). Modulation of spatial attention by fear-conditioned stimuli: An event-related fMRI study. *Neuropsychologia*, 40, 817–826.

Beck, A. T., Epstein, N., Brown, G., & Steer, R. A. (1988). An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: Psychometric properties. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 56, 893–897.

Calvo, M. G., & Lang, P. J. (2004). Gaze patterns when looking at emotional pictures: Motivationally biased attention. *Motivation and Emotion*, 28, 221–243.

Carretie, L., Hinojosa, J. A., Martin-Loeches, M., Mecedo, F., & Tapia, M. (2004). Automatic attention to emotional stimuli: Neural correlates. *Human Brain Mapping*, 22, 290–299.

Tables

Tables should be numbered according to the sequence in the text. The text should include references to all tables. Each table should be provided on a separate page of the manuscript. Tables should not be included with the text content. Each table should have a brief and self-explanatory title. Column headings should be brief, but sufficiently explanatory. Standard abbreviations of units of measurement should be added between parentheses. Vertical lines should not be used to separate columns. Leave some extra space between the columns instead. Any explanations essential to the understanding of the table

should be given in footnotes at the bottom of the table. Table captions should be provided all together on a separate page.

Footnotes

Footnotes should only be used if absolutely essential. In most cases it is possible to incorporate the information in the text. If used, they should be numbered in the text, indicated by superscript numbers and kept as short as possible.

Figures

Figures should be numbered according to the sequence in the text. The text should include references to all figures. Each figure should be provided on a separate sheet. Figures should not be included in the text. Color figures can be included, provided the cost of their reproduction is paid for by the author. For the file formats of the figures please take the following into account:

- Line art should have a minimum resolution of 600 dpi, save as EPS or TIFF
- Grayscales (incl photos) should have a minimum resolution of 300 dpi (no lettering), or 500 dpi (when there is lettering); save as tiff
- Do not save figures as JPEG, this format may lose information in the process
- Do not use figures taken from the Internet, the resolution will be too low for printing
- Do not use color in your figures if they are to be printed in black & white, as this will reduce the print quality (note that in software often the default is color, you should change the settings)
- For figures that should be printed in color, please send a CMYK encoded EPS or TIFF

Figures should be designed with the format of the page of the journal in mind. They should be of such a size as to allow a reduction of 50%. On maps and other figures where a scale is needed, use bar scales rather than numerical ones, i.e., do not use scales of the type 1:10,000. This avoids problems if the

figures need to be reduced. Each figure should have a self-explanatory caption. The captions to all figures should be typed on a separate sheet of the manuscript. Photographs are only acceptable if they have good contrast and intensity. Each illustration should be provided on a separate page. Illustrations should not be included in the text. The original drawings (no photocopies) are required. Electronic files of illustrations should preferably be formatted in Encapsulated PostScript Format. Footnotes should be kept to a minimum, and they should be provided all together on a separate page.

Figures will be printed in grey scale. At an additional charge figures can be printed in color in the print version. Note that figures that are supplied to us in full color are always in color in the online version at no charge.